

ITEM 7 Development Updates (*Informational Only*)

ITEM 8 Member Items

- | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| a) City of Reno | b) Reno-Tahoe Airport Authority |
| c) City of Sparks | d) FHWA |
| e) Washoe County | f) Air Quality Management Div. (AQMD) |
| g) NDOT | h) TMRPA |
| i) WCSD | j) Reno-Sparks Indian Colony (RSIC) |

ITEM 9 Agenda Items for Future TAC Meetings (*For Possible Action*)

ITEM 10 RTC Staff Items (*Informational Only*)

ITEM 11 Public Comment - *pursuant to paragraph II under Public Notice near the top of this page*

ITEM 12 Adjournment (*For Possible Action*)

The Committee **may** take action on any item noted for possible action

**REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

**Meeting Minutes
Wednesday, August 6, 2020**

Meeting via teleconference only pursuant to NRS 241.023 and Emergency Directive 006

TAC Members Present

Chris Tolley	Truckee Meadows Regional Planning Agency
Tara Smaltz	Nevada Department of Transportation
Kevin Verre	Nevada Department of Transportation
Mitchell Fink	Washoe County Community Services
Mike Boster	Washoe County School District
Lissa Butterfield	Reno-Tahoe Airport Authority
Dan Inouye	Washoe County Health District Air Quality
Enos Han	Federal Highway Administration Nevada
David Hutchison	City of Reno Community Development, Public Works Department
Jeff Borchardt	City of Reno Community Development
Amber Sosa	City of Sparks Engineering Services

RTC Staff

Bill Thomas	Amy Cummings
Dan Doenges	Amber Bowsmith
Jim Gee	Rebecca Kapuler
David Carr	Stephanie Haddock
Jennifer Meyers	Doug Maloy
Xuan Wang	Dale Keller

TAC Guests

Megan McPhilimy, LSC	A.T. Stoddard, LSC
Pedro Rodriguez, NDOT	Sajid Sulahria, NDOT
Chris Cobb, Reno-Tahoe Airport Authority	

The Technical Advisory Committee meeting was conducted as a Virtual Meeting. The Chair, Jeff Borchardt, called the meeting to order at 9:40 a.m.

ITEM 1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

The agenda was approved as submitted.

ITEM 2. PUBLIC COMMENT

There was no public comment.

ITEM 3. APPROVAL OF THE JUNE 3, 2020 MEETING MINUTES

The June 3, 2020, meeting minutes were approved as submitted with Jeff Borchardt abstaining.

ITEM 4. RECEIVE A REPORT ON THE NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SPAGHETTI BOWL XPRESS (SBX) PROJECT

Pedro Rodriguez, NDOT Project Management Division gave a presentation on the Nevada Department of Transportation Spaghetti Bowl Xpress (SBX) project beginning with the project objectives and benefits which include expanding southbound I-580 Spaghetti Bowl to Villanova Street, enhancing safety and reducing congestion and minimizing travel delays, widening travel lanes and shoulders as well as reconfiguring interchange ramps access. The overall goal is to improve safety, efficiency and operations throughout the Spaghetti Bowl with a focus of improving eastbound to southbound flow in general. Additional details are available in the full presentation on file at the RTC Metropolitan Planning Department.

Bill Thomas, RTC Executive Director, asked if right-of-way would be needed on the section of I580 from Wells to the southbound ramp of I580 or on the south side of I80. Pedro responded that in the environmental impact study that has been shared with the public this may occur in future phases, but it is unknown at this time what the right-of-way impacts may be, or if it will be needed. Bill asked that NDOT please share with RTC and City of Reno if this will occur. Bill also discussed the realignment of Glendale Avenue.

On a motion by Amber Sosa and seconded by Chris Tolley, the committee acknowledged receiving the report on Nevada Department of Transportation Spaghetti Bowl Xpress (SBX) project. The motion carried unanimously.

ITEM 5. ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF A REPORT REGARDING THE UPDATE OF THE 2015 COORDINATED HUMAN SERVICES PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PLAN (CTP)

Jennifer Meyers, RTC Public Transportation Transit Contracts Administrator, introduced the item and the consultant team of A.T. Stoddard and Megan McPhilimy of LSC Transportation Consultants to present an overview of where we are today and to seek the committee members' input regarding unmet transportation needs and types of improvements and changes that RTC should be looking for going forward. There is currently an online survey questionnaire available to the public to provide feedback. Printable versions are also available to the public that can be returned by mail. A copy of the presentation is on file at the RTC Metropolitan Planning Department.

Bill Thomas, RTC Executive Director, spoke about special needs fixed route riders and the challenges surrounding conflicts of wearing masks and enforcement while RTC struggles to gain back ridership during COVID. He also asked whether there might be other strategies that should be considered to help with the challenges to improve service delivery and ridership while serving the public in the safest way possible and be able to meet additional needs.

On a motion by Tara Smaltz and seconded by Dan Inouye, the committee acknowledged receipt of the 2015 Coordinated Human Services Public Transportation Plan (CTP) report. The motion carried unanimously.

ITEM 6. ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF A REPORT ON AN UPDATE OF THE VISION ZERO TRUCKEE MEADOWS TASK FORCE

Rebecca Kapuler, RTC Senior Planner, presented an update on the community based regional Vision Zero Truckee Meadows Task Force. A copy of the presentation is on file at the RTC Metropolitan Planning Department.

On a motion by Dan Inouye and seconded by Chris Tolley, the committee acknowledged receipt of the Vision Zero Truckee Meadows Task Force report. The motion carried unanimously.

ITEM 7. DEVELOPMENT UPDATES

Jeff Borchardt, City of Reno, stated nothing too major is happening, the projects now are small in nature and he will add additional information under Member Items.

Amber Sosa, City of Sparks, noted that they are working on similar projects as those that City of Reno mentioned. She also added that Spanish Springs, Stone Brook and Wingfield areas have many developmental reviews coming up. Bill Thomas, RTC Executive Director, mentioned the Eagle Canyon connection and Dan Doenges, RTC Planning Manager, stated the extension study is still in the works and additional information will be presented at a future meeting.

Mitchell Fink, Washoe County, stated there are 294 new homes planned in the Sun Valley area. He also noted that developments in Cold Springs and Spanish Springs are booming, too.

There were no additional Developmental Updates.

ITEM 8. MEMBER ITEMS

Jeff Borchardt, City of Reno, stated they are doing a comprehensive Zoning Code update and encouraged everyone to look at the information on their website where there is a list of the meeting and public workshop dates.

David Hutchison, City of Reno, added that City of Reno is working with NDOT on the TA Set-Aside funding on installing radar speed feedback signs and pedestrian flashers. They are awaiting the notice to proceed from FHWA. They are also working with Renown on donated funded flashers for pedestrians, too.

Lissa Butterfield, Reno-Tahoe Airport Authority, introduced Chris Cobb, the new Manager of Engineering and Construction who may be joining future TAC meetings. She also noted that the new President/CEO, Daren Griffin, starts in his new position this week.

Amber Sosa, City of Sparks, noted they are also working with Renown to add the pedestrian flashers that City of Reno will be installing.

Mitchell Fink, Washoe County, stated they will have the same Renown rapid flashers in school zone.

Daniel Inouye, Washoe County Health District Air Quality Management, stated they are encouraging work-from-home to continue as it helps our air quality and reduces Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT), especially work related trips, as businesses being to reopen and they encourage other jurisdictions and businesses to incorporate working remotely in their plans moving forward as much as possible.

Tara Smaltz, NDOT, regarding the Spaghetti Bowl Xpress (SBX) project and to give a little more insight into the project upcoming traffic control, starting August 16th there will be some temporary traffic control and traffic interaction impacts northbound from Mill up to the Spaghetti Bowl, and beginning August 19th there is an anticipated split in traffic for the northbound 580 traffic continuing north up to 395, which could impact traffic on Mill, Glendale and Oddie.

Mike Boster, Washoe County School District, noted that school will be opening on August 17th, and he will let TAC know if anything changes.

There were no additional Member Updates.

ITEM 9. AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE TAC MEETINGS

There were no items provided.

ITEM 10. RTC STAFF ITEMS

Doug Maloy, RTC Engineering Manager, stated there will be engineering project updates at future TAC meetings.

Dan Doenges, RTC Planning Manager, stated there would be a bylaw update presentation at an upcoming TAC meeting.

ITEM 11. PUBLIC COMMENT

There was no public comment.

ITEM 12. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 10:18 a.m.

DRAFT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

---o0o---

RTC TAC MEETING
Thursday, September 3, 2020
Reno, Nevada

24 Reported by: Brandi Ann Vianney Smith
25 Job Number: 661333

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

---o0o---
RENO, NEVADA, THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 3, 2020, 9:00 A.M.
---o0o---

MR. DOENGES: Let me get things started here. I will remind everyone that this meeting is being recorded so we can capture the minutes, as well as being broadcast to RTC's YouTube channel live.

Jeff, I'm going to -- if you want to go ahead and call the meeting to order, and I'll go ahead and do the roll call.

Also, before we get started, just a couple of housekeeping things. I know everyone's pretty familiar by now, but please do state your name anytime -- for the record anytime you want to make a comment, also when make a motion or a second.

And, Jeff, if you wouldn't mind just repeating whoever makes the motion and the second before taking action.

Also, please, everyone, try to remember to keep your microphones muted to just to cut down on the background noise. And, yeah, those are kind of the main things.

Let me pull up the roster. I'm first going to read the roster for a roll call, ensure we have a quorum, and then I will also identified anyone else who's on the

1 call for the record.

2 In no particular order, here we go.

3 Kevin Verre, NDOT? I do not see Kevin. Anyone in
4 for Kevin?

5 (No response).

6 MR. DOENGES: Okay. Moving on. Tara Smaltz,
7 NDOT?

8 MS. SMALTZ: Tara Smaltz here.

9 MR. DOENGES: Thanks, Tara.

10 Kurt Dietrich, City of Reno?

11 MR. DEITRICH: Here. Thank you.

12 MR. DOENGES: Thanks, Kurt.

13 Jeff Borchardt, City of Reno?

14 MR. BORCHARDT: Here.

15 MR. DOENGES: Amber Sosa, City of Sparks?

16 MS. SOSA: Good morning.

17 MR. DOENGES: Good morning, Amber.

18 Ian Crittenden, City of Sparks?

19 MR. CRITTENDEN: Here.

20 MR. DOENGES: Thanks, Ian. Did I pronounce your
21 last name right?

22 MR. CRITTENDEN: Good enough. You got it.

23 MR. DOENGES: Thank you.

24 Mitchell Fink, Washoe County? I did hear Mitch
25 earlier, so we will mark him as here.

1 Julee Olander, Washoe County?

2 It looks like -- my apologies. Julee is just
3 joining. We still have some people trickling in here. Let
4 me just make sure she's connected.

5 Good morning, Julee. Just calling roll call for a
6 quorum.

7 MS. OLANDER: Good morning, Dan. I'm sorry. I
8 couldn't get clicked on.

9 MR. DOENGES: No worries. Thank you.

10 Daniel Inouye, Air Quality Management Division?

11 MR. INOUYE: Present.

12 MR. DOENGES: Thanks, Daniel.

13 Chris Tolley, TMRPA?

14 MR. TOLLEY: Hello. Here.

15 MR. DOENGES: Scott Nebesky, Reno-Sparks Indian
16 Colony? Do we have anyone else in for Scott? Okay. So no
17 RSIC representative this morning.

18 Sandy Freund, Washoe County School District. And,
19 Sandy, I apologize if I didn't pronounce your name
20 correctly. Sandy are you on? She might be having some
21 trouble with the microphone, but I do see her name there.
22 So I will count her as present.

23 Lissa Butterfield, Reno-Tahoe Airport Authority?

24 MS. BUTTERFIELD: Good morning. I'm on.

25 MR. DOENGES: And Enos Han, FHWA?

1 MR. HAN: Here.

2 MR. DOENGES: Excellent. We do have a quorum.

3 I'm going to go through and read all the other attendees as
4 well just for the record.

5 We have A.T Stoddard, LSC. Amber Bowsmith, RTC.
6 Amy Cummings, RTC. Bill Thomas, RTC. Dale Keller, RTC.
7 David Carr, RTC. Denise Thompson, RTC. Mark Maloney, RTC.
8 Michael Deloude, RTC. Christopher Cobb, also with
9 Reno-Tahoe Airport Authority. Stephanie Haddock, RTC. Chen
10 Wong, RTC.

11 I do see one phone number. Is that's you, Daniel?

12 MR. INOUYE: This is Dan. The one that ends in
13 4799, that is my land line.

14 MR. DOENGES: Excellent. Thank you for
15 confirming.

16 I would also like to acknowledge Brandi Smith from
17 Sunshine Reporting. She is going to be helping us out with
18 the minutes.

19 And with that, Jeff, if you wouldn't mind calling
20 the meeting to order, stating the date and time, I think
21 we're ready to go.

22 MR. BORCHARDT: Perfect. It is Thursday,
23 September 3rd -- Jeff Borchardt for the record. Thursday,
24 September 3rd, 9:06 A.M. There is a quorum, so I'll call
25 this meeting to order.

1 Can I get -- we'll move on to item number 1. Can
2 I get a motion to approve the agenda?

3 MR. TOLLEY: If there are no changes -- Chris
4 Tolley, TMRPA -- I move to approve to agenda.

5 MR. BORCHARDT: So Chris Tolley with a motion.
6 Can I get a second, please?

7 MS. SOSA: Amber Sosa, City of Sparks. Second.

8 MR. BORCHARDT: And Amber with a second. All
9 those is favor --

10 Actually, Dan, are we doing roll call votes? Is
11 that the goal here today?

12 MR. DOENGES: No. No. I'm sorry. I apologize.
13 Yes, just a motion and a second, but we do need to say "all
14 those in favor." Thank you.

15 MR. BORCHARDT: Perfect. All those in favor, say
16 aye.

17 THE COMMITTEE: Aye.

18 MR. BORCHARDT: Anybody opposed? All right.
19 Seeing nobody opposed, the agenda is approved. We will move
20 on to public comment.

21 Dan, do we have any public comment?

22 MR. DOENGES: We do not for the tech. Thank you.

23 MR. BORCHARDT: Perfect. And I apologize for not
24 having my video up. I have awful internet connection here
25 at the City, so I apologize.

1 So we'll move on to item number 3, approval of the
2 July 1, 2020 meeting minutes. Those meeting minutes were in
3 your packet.

4 Can I get a motion for approval of the meeting
5 minutes?

6 MS. SOSA: Amber Sosa, City of Sparks. Motion to
7 approve the minutes of July 1st.

8 MR. BORCHARDT: Amber with a motion.

9 MR. DEITRICH: Kurt Dietrich, City of Reno.
10 Second.

11 MR. BORCHARDT: And Kurt with a second. All those
12 in favor, say aye.

13 THE COMMITTEE: Aye.

14 MR. BORCHARDT: Anybody opposed? No one opposed.
15 Perfect. So the minutes are approved.

16 We will move on to item number four, which is
17 acknowledgement of receipt of the report and provide input
18 on the proposed January 2021 RTC RIDE service change.

19 So, Dan, is there anybody on staff that's going to
20 give a presentation on this one?

21 MR. DOENGES: Sorry. I was muted. I believe
22 Michael with RTC will get it started.

23 MR. BORCHARDT: Thank you, Mike. Take it away.

24 MR. DELOUDE: This is Michael Deloude, RTC. I'm
25 the Senior Technical Transit Operations Planner for the RTC.

1 We're going to be launching a service change in January of
2 2021.

3 This is to go over -- if I get my -- here we are.
4 This is just to give you all an overview of what we've got
5 planned for the upcoming service change. It is rather
6 simple.

7 To coincide with the completion of the Virginia
8 Street project through Midtown and the UNR area, we will be
9 extending the RAPID Virginia line up to the University.

10 So I just want go over a little overview of the
11 Virginia Street project, then talked about that change to
12 the RAPID Virginia line, and then talk about some
13 adjustments that we're doing to the schedule. The proposed
14 effective date is January 2, 2021.

15 The Virginia Street project had three -- kind of
16 three specific purpose and needs. It was to increase
17 transit ridership and connectivity along the corridor. It
18 was to enhance pedestrian safety.

19 As you can tell by the photos here, we have had
20 some very narrow sidewalks with barriers in them, and it has
21 not been very pedestrian or ADA compliant. Then,
22 additionally, we wanted to improve the accessibility in the
23 Virginia Street corridor.

24 The project is a 1.8-mile extension for the
25 existing BRT service, which currently ends at Fourth Street

1 station. The goal is to extend the RAPID Virginia line
2 further north up to the UNR campus to a roundabout near the
3 Lawlor Event Center.

4 This project included eight new stations: three
5 stations new stations in the Midtown area, an additional
6 five new stations for the north portion of the project
7 between Eighth and Ninth at College, and then -- so that
8 there would be two pairs at each, and then one station at
9 the Lawlor Event Center.

10 This project also includes the purchase of two new
11 electric buses to supply service to this corridor.

12 Additionally, we added to two new roundabouts: one
13 at the Lawlor Events Center, and one at Center. It was 1.2
14 miles of complete street design for the Midtown area of
15 South Virginia Street. Road construction and preventative
16 maintenance occurred.

17 We widened sidewalks for better ADA access and
18 just general pedestrian access. Traffic signal priority for
19 the transit system to help ensure that the RAPID Virginia
20 line can remain on schedule as best as possible. Then,
21 we've included, in certain sections, exclusive bus lanes.

22 This project has been primarily federally funded.

23 This is just to give you a view of the new
24 stations that have been erected.

25 The top left and right are along the Midtown

1 portion of the corridor. Those have been completed.

2 The lower left is the new station up at the campus
3 at College, and it brings in some of the UNR decor as part
4 of its design.

5 These are some images of before and afters for the
6 Midtown portion of the project. As you can see, the top are
7 the before, and the photos at the bottom are the after. You
8 can see how the sidewalks and pedestrian ADA access and
9 accessibility has been improved.

10 Additionally with the work, there was an addition
11 of a median island to help control traffic and a lot of
12 traffic will move a little bit smoother through the
13 corridor.

14 Here, again, are some more photos of the
15 improvements in the Midtown area. As you can tell, the
16 walking has gotten much better.

17 The current RAPID Virginia line operates from
18 Fourth Street station to Meadowood Mall, and it currently
19 operates at every 10 minutes on the weekdays during the peak
20 periods, and 12 minutes on the weekends during the peak
21 periods.

22 The plan is to extend up to the UNR campus at the
23 roundabout at the Lawlor Event Center. We would continue to
24 serve Meadowood Mall. The frequency would remain the same
25 at 10 minutes on weekdays and 12 minutes on the weekends.

1 The notable change to the service would be that
2 Fourth Street station four, the RAPID Virginia line, would
3 become just a regular stop, not a place for layover or
4 dwell.

5 So it would be treated as any other just regular
6 stop along any RTC RIDE route. The layovers would occur at
7 the roundabout at the station, at the roundabout at the UNR
8 campus, at Lawlor Event Center, and then at Meadowood Mall.

9 As part of this, we had implemented the UNR
10 Midtown direct route about this time last year to help
11 connectivity with the north end of Downtown to the Midtown
12 area to help bring people into the Midtown during the
13 construction period.

14 This was only slated as being temporary. So as
15 part of this project, the UNR Midtown direct will be
16 discontinued. It's currently frequency is every 30 minutes.

17 So with going to the RAPID Virginia line to every
18 10 minutes, it should be much more convenient for the
19 students and the people that live in the north part of
20 Downtown to get the service and get into Midtown or get down
21 to Meadowood Mall, wherever they plan on going.

22 Through every service change, we're always
23 continually adjusting the schedules a little bit. So we
24 look at the run times by segments and see how we're doing
25 with regard to the timing between those segments, and we

1 just do an occasional update. This is help improve on-time
2 performance, improve service reliability, and it's to help,
3 again, with on time performance, which these run times can
4 significantly improve. They're just regular adjustments
5 that we do over time.

6 We're continuing to follow the COVID-19 safety
7 protocols. We're reaching out to people in virtual
8 presentations. I have done a YouTube video indicating these
9 changes, and something for the public to view.

10 We're getting responses back through social media,
11 through my email address, or through the hotline.

12 And that's all I have. I welcome -- you're
13 welcome to ask any questions.

14 MR. BORCHARDT: Thank you so much, Michael.

15 Does anybody have any questions for Michael? Oh,
16 this is Jeff Borchardt. Sorry.

17 All right. Well, seeing no questions. Thank you.

18 Do we have to take a motion, Dan, to acknowledge
19 this receipt, or just it's acknowledged, and we will move
20 on?

21 MR. DOENGES: No. If you could, Jeff, please make
22 a motion and another roll call vote. Thank you.

23 MR. BORCHARDT: Perfect. Can we get a motion to
24 acknowledge receipt of this presentation, please?

25 MR. TOLLEY: Chris Tolley, TMRPA. So moved.

1 MR. BORCHARDT: So we got Chris Tolley with the
2 motion. Can I get a second, please?

3 MS. SMALTZ: Tara Smaltz, NDOT. Second.

4 MR. BORCHARDT: And Tara Smaltz with the second.
5 All those in favor, say aye.

6 THE COMMITTEE: Aye.

7 MR. BORCHARDT: All those opposed?

8 (No response.)

9 MR. BORCHARDT: All right. Thank you so much.
10 We'll move on to item number 5, which is acknowledge receipt
11 of a report regarding the update of the 2015 Coordinated
12 Human Services Public Transportation Plans, CTP, and this
13 one is also from public -- for possible action.

14 And it looks like A.T. Stoddard has the
15 presentation.

16 MR. DOENGES: Yes. I just wanted to acknowledge,
17 too, that Jennifer Meyers from RTC is an attendee. She is
18 having a little trouble logging in the meeting, but she's
19 present now.

20 MS. MEYERS: Yes. Good morning, everyone. For
21 the record, Jennifer Meyers, Transit Contract Administrator
22 for RTC.

23 As required by the Federal Transit Administration,
24 RTC is in the process, as you know, of updating its
25 Coordinated Human Services Public Transportation Plan.

1 That's a mouthful.

2 The purpose of the plan is to improve
3 transportation coordination in the region for persons with
4 disabilities and older adults, and to establish funding
5 priorities for specialized transportation services.

6 Last month, we engaged you in the development of
7 this plan, and today we have Mr. A.T. Stoddard with LSC
8 Transportation here to give you an update on the plan's
9 development, and provide you with some preliminary survey
10 results.

11 Good morning, A.T. Thank you.

12 MR. STODDARD: Good morning. Thank you, Jennifer.

13 Again, this is A.T. Stoddard with LSC
14 Transportation Consultants. I am the Project Manager for
15 the consultant team.

16 As Jennifer mentioned, we were at your last
17 meeting, and gave you an overview of the project.

18 Today, we want to just give you an update on what
19 we've been doing. We've had a number of meetings. The key
20 thing that has taken place has been outreach with the survey
21 efforts to get community input.

22 As all of you can appreciate, that's a real
23 challenge right now, but we worked to get the community
24 survey out. I've got some preliminary findings to share
25 with you today.

1 The community survey had a short questionnaire,
2 and we distributed it through outreach to a variety of
3 agencies and stakeholders. We've been participating in a
4 number of meetings over the last month.

5 The questionnaire was posted on the RTC website,
6 along with basic information about the project, and a video.
7 Then we had it both online and printable versions of the
8 survey questionnaire. So people could read it online if
9 they wanted, or they could print it out and send it in.

10 We closed it out on Tuesday, and we had 156
11 responses to the English questionnaire; we had one response
12 on our Spanish version.

13 So what I'm sharing today are still preliminary
14 results. We did close it out Tuesday morning, so it went
15 through Monday.

16 We're working on the full analysis, and what will
17 be of particular interest are written comments that people
18 provide.

19 Just a few things to give you an overview of what
20 we have heard from people who participated and some of their
21 characteristics.

22 So the first question was -- I'm sharing here --
23 whether people have regular access to a personal vehicle
24 that they drive. What we found is about 35 percent said
25 "yes"; the other 65 indicated they did not have regular

1 access to a personal vehicle that they could drive for
2 employment.

3 Then, in terms of characteristics of the people,
4 we asked them if they had some type of disability, if they
5 were a senior, a veteran. We found 59 percent of our
6 respondents indicated they had some type of disability; 35
7 percent seniors; a small portion indicated they were
8 veterans.

9 Then, a key question is: Do people have access
10 the transportation services? If they need to go somewhere,
11 are they able to get a ride?

12 So we asked the question: Do you ever need a ride
13 and not have one? And the responses indicated that 48
14 percent said "yes" to that question.

15 So nearly half of the people responding have had
16 times when they needed to get somewhere and were not able to
17 because lack of transportation.

18 That really relates to quality of life and the
19 next question -- I'm sharing with you -- is: What was the
20 trip purpose? Where did people need a ride to that they
21 were unable to get there because of a lack of
22 transportation?

23 So the greatest percentage, but only slightly, was
24 for going shopping. So that would include shopping for any
25 purpose, including groceries.

1 At 32 percent, again, only a slight difference,
2 was going to or from medical appointments.

3 Lower percentages, at 17 percent, was "other." We
4 asked people to specify that. Again, those are written
5 comments, and we're going through those to find out if there
6 are common responses within those written comments.

7 Another 13 percent indicated going to and from
8 work.

9 About 5 percent indicated that they did not have
10 transportation for school or some type of training program.

11 Again, about a third each not being able to get to
12 or from medical appointments or going shopping. So that
13 does translate directly to independence and living and the
14 quality of life.

15 So we'll be looking at what are, kind of,
16 strategies, what options do we have to address some of those
17 unmet needs.

18 The final slide I share with you is, again, who
19 are the people that we are getting responses from? This
20 shows household income.

21 So 34 percent indicated a household income of less
22 than \$15,000; another 22 percent were in the range of 15 to
23 \$25,000; 20 percent 25 to \$50,000.

24 So really, just over three-quarters were in
25 households with incomes under \$50,000, but well over half,

1 about 56 percent, indicating they were in households with
2 incomes of \$25,000 or less.

3 This, I think, corresponds to what we heard from
4 some of the stakeholder interviews we did early in the
5 process. When asked the question of affordability of
6 transportation, people said even as transportation services
7 are available, often the people can't afford it.

8 When you look at the responses we have here, and
9 the high percentage coming from, really, low income
10 households, again, that seems to be consistent.

11 So we're looking at those, and we are in the
12 process of doing analysis based on demographics, of the
13 level of need, working on the various options and strategies
14 to be considered, and putting together an interim report
15 that we will be submitting to RTC.

16 So that is my presentation, and I certainly would
17 be happy to answer questions or take any comments you may
18 have at this time.

19 Thank you.

20 MR. BORCHARDT: Thank you, Mr. Stoddard.

21 Does anybody have any questions for Mr. Stoddard,
22 or any comments on the presentation?

23 (No response.)

24 All right. Well, thank you very much. We
25 appreciate your time.

1 MR. STODDARD: Great. Thank you.

2 MR. BORCHARDT: Can I get a motion to acknowledge
3 receipt of this presentation?

4 MR. DEITRICH: Kurt Dietrich, City of Reno.
5 Motion to acknowledge.

6 MR. BORCHARDT: We've got a motion from
7 Mr. Dietrich. Can I get a second, please?

8 MS. OLANDER: Julee Olander. Second.

9 MR. BORCHARDT: And a second from Julee Olander.
10 All those in favor, say aye.

11 THE COMMITTEE: Aye.

12 MR. BORCHARDT: Thank you very much, everybody.
13 Or -- sorry -- all those opposed, say nay.

14 (No response.)

15 MR. BORCHARDT: All right. Perfect. All right.
16 Thank you. We'll move on to item number six, which is
17 development updates.

18 Dan, do we have any development updates?

19 MR. DOENGES: Thank you, Jeff.

20 This is kind of the point in the meeting where all
21 the local entities -- that being the City of Reno or the
22 City of Sparks or Washoe County -- if there's any
23 development updates that they would like to present to the
24 committee as a whole, please feel free to do so.

25 MR. BORCHARDT: I guess I can start. We don't

1 have any significant development updates on our front. I
2 know that there have been several tentative maps that have
3 been submitted for the Daybreak development, so those are in
4 review at this point.

5 MS. OLANDER: This is Julee Olander from Washoe
6 County. I don't have anything to report either.

7 MR. BORCHARDT: Do we have anything for the City
8 of Sparks?

9 MS. SOSA: This is Amber from the City Of Sparks.
10 Probably nothing new that we haven't discussed before, but I
11 will also let Ian, if he has anything specific that he would
12 like to mention.

13 MR. CRITTENDEN: Yes. I don't have anything at
14 this time.

15 MR. BORCHARDT: Thank you, everybody.

16 So we'll move on to item number 7, which is member
17 items. I don't have anything on my end. Jeff Borchardt for
18 the City of Reno.

19 Kurt, I don't know if you have anything that you
20 would like to add on the City of Reno's behalf.

21 MR. DEITRICH: Yes. Just a few items here. We
22 recently turned on the signal last week at Double R and
23 Sandhill in the South Meadows area. That installation was
24 from the development project. So that's fully functioning.

25 We're substantially complete with the single

1 retiming project on the McCarran and (inaudible) corridors,
2 and we're just a fine-tuning a P.M. issue at McCarran and
3 Northtowne at the moment.

4 Currently in prep engineering, we're developing a
5 list of 20 RRP locations for an upcoming RTC PE spot
6 project. So that is forthcoming as well.

7 Those are the updates from the City of Reno.

8 Thank you.

9 MR. BORCHARDT: Thank you, Kurt.

10 We'll move on to the Airport Authority.

11 MS. BUTTERFIELD: Good morning. This is Lissa
12 Butterfield for the Airport Authority. I don't have a long
13 update, just wanted to share with the group that we did,
14 yesterday, receive notification that our FAA Airport
15 Improvement program (inaudible) branch has been awarded, and
16 we received it today.

17 So we will be able to start our project to install
18 the electric charging stations for the airline-operated
19 electric vehicles that they use to load and move the
20 baggage, as well as the aircraft tugs.

21 That work will be completed by -- in January of
22 '21, and the contractor is Helix Electric of Nevada.

23 Thank you.

24 MR. BORCHARDT: Thank you, Lissa.

25 We will move on to the City of Sparks. Amber, do

1 you guys have anything?

2 MS. SOSA: Probably the only item would be to
3 piggy back on what Kurt is doing with Reno with the RRP
4 locations for the PE spots.

5 We are doing similar and just looking at that best
6 locations for such installations.

7 That's all I have.

8 MR. BORCHARDT: Perfect. Thank you so much.

9 We will go to FHWA.

10 MR. HAN: I don't have any updates at this time.

11 Thank you.

12 MR. BORCHARDT: Perfect. Thank you, Enos.

13 We will move on to Washoe County. I know that
14 Mitch had to --

15 MR. FINK: This is Mitchell Fink with Washoe
16 County.

17 We had a new traffic signal come online on Talking
18 Sparrow and West Calle De La Plata last week.

19 MR. BORCHARDT: Perfect. Thank you so much,
20 Mitch.

21 We will move on to AQ&D. Dan?

22 MR. INOUE: Yes. Good morning. This is Daniel
23 Inoue with Washoe County Air Quality.

24 Besides the wildfire smoke that we've been
25 experiencing the last few weeks, nothing else to report.

1 Thank you.

2 MR. BORCHARDT: Thank you.

3 Move on NDOT.

4 MS. SMALTZ: This Tara. Updates that I have, XBS
5 is ongoing, so that would be the 395/580/I-80 Spaghetti Bowl
6 projects. So the lane configuration, temporary traffic
7 control in the northbound, that will be there for next
8 couple months.

9 We have ongoing work at the 395/Parr interchange,
10 the structure there. So hoping to have that interchange
11 open prior to Thanksgiving. So that's an update there.

12 Then, we have ongoing work on the West McCarran
13 portions, so Virginia to Fourth. In September, night work
14 for kind of the grading/paving will be occurring.

15 And we anticipate two marathon weekends to do the
16 open grading or the top paving service.

17 So for everyone's calendars, that is anticipated
18 to be the weekend of October 3rd, and the weekend of October
19 10th, will be marathon. So there will be impacts to that
20 area.

21 Those are the big updates that I have at this
22 time.

23 MR. BORCHARDT: Thank you so much, Tara.

24 We will move on to TMRPA. Chris, do we have any
25 updates?

1 MR. TOLLEY: Yes. A few today. Thank you. Chris
2 Tolley, TMRPA.

3 The first is the Regional Planning Governing Board
4 selected Jeremy Smith to be the Director of Regional
5 Planing. This was at their previous meetings. Congrats to
6 him.

7 Then the upcoming Regional Planning Commission
8 meeting, a couple of items now that they've already said
9 that this is going to be go forward a few times, but the
10 Truckee Meadows annual report, which is also the 2019 annual
11 reports, will be going forth to that meeting and then to the
12 subsequent Regional Planning Governing Board meeting.

13 Then, also, the annual update to the regional plan
14 will be going to those same series of meetings. This is,
15 essentially, grammatical and other corrections that staff
16 has identified since the adoption of the regional plan --
17 2019 regional plan in October of 2019.

18 That concludes my updates.

19 MR. BORCHARDT: Thank you, Chris.

20 Do we have anybody from the School District on?

21 MR. DOENGES: Yes. Jeff, this is Dan Doenges with
22 RTC.

23 Sandy informed me that her microphone is not
24 working, so she did say that she has no updates at this
25 time.

1 MR. BORCHARDT: Perfect. Thanks, Dan.

2 Scott, is -- are you still on for Reno-Sparks
3 Indican Colony?

4 MR. DOENGES: I don't believe he was in attendance
5 today.

6 MR. BORCHARDT: Okay. Perfect. All right, then.
7 That concludes our member items.

8 We will move on to item number eight, which is
9 agenda items for future TAC meetings. Does anybody have any
10 suggestions for future agenda items?

11 (No response.)

12 MR. BORCHARDT: Perfect. Dan, I don't know if you
13 guys have any upcoming items that you can inform us of?

14 MR. DOENGES: Sure. We anticipate likely having
15 an update on the RTP. That's one I know of for sure, but
16 I'm sure we will have some others to accompany that as well.

17 MR. BORCHARDT: Perfect. And given that we didn't
18 have any suggestions from TAC members, did you need an
19 action on this, or do we just close it out and move on?

20 MR. DOENGES: Yes. We can move on. Thank you.

21 MR. BORCHARDT: All right. Item number 9, which
22 is RTC staff items.

23 MR. DOENGES: I don't really have any updates
24 myself. I would defer to any of my other RTC colleagues on
25 the line if they want to chime in at this point.

1 MR. KELLER: This is Dale Keller with RTC
2 Engineering.

3 We're going to be working with Dan to try to
4 create an agenda item for next month and provide a quarterly
5 update of some of our significant projects and programs.

6 We will have more of an update for the TAC next
7 month, but we are wrapping up our pavement preservation and
8 rehab jobs here this fall. We'll have more exciting news to
9 talk about next month.

10 Thank you.

11 MR. BORCHARDT: Good, Dale.

12 Anybody else RTC before we move on?

13 (No response).

14 Perfect. All right. So before close it out, we
15 will move on to item number 10, which is our public comment
16 section.

17 Did we receive any more public comment while we
18 were having this meeting, Dan?

19 MR. DOENGES: No. No more public comment was
20 received.

21 MR. BORCHARDT: Perfect. All right. So we will
22 move on to item number 11, which is adjournment. Can I get
23 a motion from somebody for adjournment?

24 MR. TOLLEY: Chris Tolley, TMRPA. So moved.

25 MR. BORCHARDT: So we have a motion from Chris

1 Tolley. What about a second?

2 MS. SOSA: Amber Sosa, City of Sparks. Second.

3 MR. BORCHARDT: And a second from Amber Sosa. All
4 those in favor, say aye.

5 THE COMMITTEE: Aye.

6 MR. BORCHARDT: Perfect. And I am assuming that
7 nobody's going to want to stay here forever, so I am
8 assuming there are no nays. This is it. We're adjourned.
9 Thank you so much.

10 MR. DOENGES: Jeff -- I'm sorry -- can you just
11 state the time for the record.

12 MR. BORCHARDT: Oh, sure. It's 9:37 A.M. Once
13 again, September 3rd on Thursday.

14 MR. DOENGES: Thank you. Thanks everyone.

15 (Adjournment at 9:37 A.M.)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 HEALTH INFORMATION PRIVACY & SECURITY: CAUTIONARY NOTICE
2 Litigation Services is committed to compliance with applicable federal
3 and state laws and regulations ("Privacy Laws") governing the
4 protection and security of patient health information. Notice is
5 hereby given to all parties that transcripts of depositions and legal
6 proceedings, and transcript exhibits, may contain patient health
7 information that is protected from unauthorized access, use and
8 disclosure by Privacy Laws. Litigation Services requires that access,
9 maintenance, use, and disclosure (including but not limited to
10 electronic database maintenance and access, storage, distribution/
11 dissemination and communication) of transcripts/exhibits containing
12 patient information be performed in compliance with Privacy Laws.
13 No transcript or exhibit containing protected patient health
14 information may be further disclosed except as permitted by Privacy
15 Laws. Litigation Services expects that all parties, parties'
16 attorneys, and their HIPAA Business Associates and Subcontractors will
17 make every reasonable effort to protect and secure patient health
18 information, and to comply with applicable Privacy Law mandates,
19 including but not limited to restrictions on access, storage, use, and
20 disclosure (sharing) of transcripts and transcript exhibits, and
21 applying "minimum necessary" standards where appropriate. It is
22 recommended that your office review its policies regarding sharing of
23 transcripts and exhibits - including access, storage, use, and
24 disclosure - for compliance with Privacy Laws.

25 © All Rights Reserved. Litigation Services (rev. 6/1/2019)



REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Metropolitan Planning • Public Transportation & Operations • Engineering & Construction

Metropolitan Planning Organization of Washoe County, Nevada

October 1, 2020

AGENDA ITEM 4

TO: Technical Advisory Committee

FROM: Jennifer Meyers
Transit Contract Administrator

SUBJECT: Coordinated Human Services Public Transportation Plan (CTP) update

RECOMMENDATION

Acknowledge receipt of a report regarding the update of the 2015 Coordinated Human Services Public Transportation Plan (CTP).

SUMMARY

The purpose of the CTP is to improve transportation coordination in the region for persons with disabilities and older adults, and to establish funding priorities for specialized transportation services. Developed with input from local representatives of public, private and nonprofit transportation and human services providers, as well the public, the CTP will include specific projects eligible for funding under Section 5310.



REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Metropolitan Planning • Public Transportation & Operations • Engineering & Construction

Metropolitan Planning Organization of Washoe County, Nevada

October 1, 2020

AGENDA ITEM 5

TO: Technical Advisory Committee

FROM: Doug Maloy, P.E.
Engineering Manager

SUBJECT: RTC Engineering Projects Status

RECOMMENDATION

Acknowledge receipt of a report on the status of projects administered by the RTC Engineering Department.

SUMMARY

Staff will provide an update on the status of several Engineering projects currently under development. Some projects currently in design or construction include: Lemmon Drive Capacity Improvements, Arlington Avenue Bridge Replacement, Oddie/Wells Corridor Multimodal Improvements, Sun Valley Boulevard Multimodal Improvements, Center Street Multimodal Improvements, Sparks Boulevard Capacity Improvements, and Pyramid Highway/US 395 Connector Phase 1.



REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Metropolitan Planning • Public Transportation & Operations • Engineering & Construction

Metropolitan Planning Organization of Washoe County, Nevada

October 1, 2020

AGENDA ITEM 6

TO: Technical Advisory Committee

FROM: Daniel Doenges, PTP, RSP
Planning Manager

SUBJECT: 2050 Regional Transportation Plan Project Evaluation

RECOMMENDATION

Acknowledge receipt of a report and provide direction on the 2050 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) project evaluation.

SUMMARY

The RTC is underway on the development of the 2050 Regional Transportation Plan. The purpose of the plan is to identify the long-term guiding principles and goals for the regional transportation system and to identify the projects, programs, and services that will be implemented through 2050. This plan will be based on a robust community engagement process and conducted in collaboration with partner agencies. The plan will address the safety, mobility, connectivity, and traffic operations issues that are resulting from strong population and employment growth in the region. Federal regulations require that the long range planning document be updated every four years. The current RTP approval extends through May 2021.

The RTC is currently evaluating projects that have been suggested for inclusion in the 2050 RTP. Projects in the current (2040) RTP which have not been completed or initiated are also being evaluated to determine if they still appropriately meet regional transportation needs. Project suggestions were received through outreach opportunities such as an online survey and agency and stakeholder coordination meetings. In addition, project recommendations were compiled from recently completed planning studies such as the South Meadows Area Multimodal Transportation Study and the University Area Multimodal Transportation Study.

RTC staff have scored each of the projects through an evaluation framework, which takes into account safety, congestion, multimodal connectivity, equity, land use, pavement condition, flood mitigation, project readiness, and funding criteria. The RTC will seek public input on the proposed projects as well as direction from the RTP Agency Working Group and provide a score based on the feedback received for the final scoring criteria.