



**REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
MEETING AGENDA**

**Thursday, September 2, 2021 at 9:00 am
Regional Transportation Commission
1st Floor Conference Room
1105 Terminal Way, Reno NV 89502**

- I. The RTC 1st Floor Conference Room is accessible to individuals with disabilities. Requests for auxiliary aids to assist individuals with disabilities should be made with as much advance notice as possible. For those requiring hearing or speech assistance, contact Relay Nevada at 1.800.326.6868 (TTY, VCO or HCO). Requests for supporting documents and all other requests should be directed to RTC Metropolitan Planning at 775-348-0480. Supporting documents may also be found on the RTC website: www.rtcwashoe.com.
- II. This meeting will be televised live and replayed on RTC's YouTube channel at: bit.ly/RTCWashoeYouTube.
- III. The Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) has a standing item for accepting public comment on topics relevant to the RTC TAC that are not included on the agenda. No action may be taken on a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action will be taken. For specific items on the TAC agenda, public comment will be taken at the time the item is discussed. Individuals providing public comment will be limited to three minutes. Individuals acting as a spokesperson for a group may request additional time. Attempts to present public input in a disruptive manner will not be allowed. Remarks will be addressed to the TAC as a whole and not to individual members.
- IV. The TAC may combine two or more agenda items for consideration and/or may remove an item from the agenda or delay discussion relating to an item on the agenda at any time.

ITEM 1 Approval of Agenda (*For Possible Action*)

ITEM 2 Public Comment - *please read paragraph III. near the top of this page*

ITEM 3 Approval of the August 5, 2021 Meeting Minutes (*For Possible Action*)

ITEM 4 Acknowledge Receipt of a Presentation Regarding the Potential Renaming of the Cold Springs and Bordertown Interchanges on US 395 (*For Possible Action*)

ITEM 5 Acknowledge Receipt of a Report on the 7th Edition Regional Road Impact Fee (RRIF) General Administrative Manual (GAM) Including the RRIF Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) (*For Possible Action*)

ITEM 6 Acknowledge Receipt of Report on the Center Street Multimodal Improvements Project Update (*For Possible Action*)

ITEM 7 Acknowledge Receipt of a Presentation on the Lemmon Valley-Spanish Springs Connector Alignment Alternatives and Planning and Environmental Linkages (PEL) Study Draft Report (*For Possible Action*)

ITEM 8 Development Updates (*Informational Only*)

ITEM 9 Member Items

- | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| a) City of Reno | b) Reno-Tahoe Airport Authority |
| c) City of Sparks | d) FHWA |
| e) Washoe County | f) Air Quality Management Div. (AQMD) |
| g) NDOT | h) TMRPA |
| i) WCSD | j) Reno-Sparks Indian Colony (RSIC) |

ITEM 10 Agenda Items for Future TAC Meetings (*For Possible Action*)

ITEM 11 RTC Staff Items (*Informational Only*)

ITEM 12 Public Comment - *please read paragraph III. near the top of this page*

ITEM 13 Adjournment (*For Possible Action*)

The Committee may take action on any item noted for possible action

**REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

**Meeting Minutes
Thursday, August 5, 2021**

TAC Members Present

Dan Inouye	Washoe County Health District-Air Quality Management Division
Alex Wolfson	Nevada Department of Transportation
Kevin Verre	Nevada Department of Transportation
David Hutchinson	City of Reno Public Works
Kelly Mullin	City of Reno Community Development
Amber Sosa, Vice Chair	City of Sparks Engineering Services
Mitchell Fink	Washoe County Community Services
Ian Crittenden	City of Sparks Community Services
Julee Olander, Chair	Washoe County Community Services
Chris Tolley	Truckee Meadows Regional Planning Agency
Lissa Butterfield	Reno-Tahoe Airport Authority
Elaine Wiseman	Reno-Sparks Indian Colony
Sandy Freund	Washoe County School District

RTC Staff

Dan Doenges	Rebecca Kapuler
David Carr	Nancy Mues
Doug Maloy	Stephanie Haddock
Jacqueline Maldonado	

TAC Guest

Christopher Cobb, RTAA

The Technical Advisory Committee meeting was conducted as a Hybrid Meeting. The meeting was called to order at 9:03 a.m. by the Chair, Julie Olander.

ITEM 1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

The agenda was approved as submitted.

ITEM 2. PUBLIC COMMENT

There were no public comments.

ITEM 3. APPROVAL OF THE JULY 1, 2021 MEETING MINUTES

The TAC July 1, 2021, meeting minutes were approved as corrected.

Elaine Wiseman, RSIC entered the meeting at 9:04 a.m. and Sandy Freund, WCSD entered the meeting at 9:06 a.m.

ITEM 4. RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO THE FFY 2021-2025 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (RTIP)

Dan Doenges, RTC Planning Manager briefed the committee on the proposed Amendment NO. 1 to the FFY 2021-2025 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP).

Christopher Hutchinson, City of Reno requested the Vassar Street Project name be changed. Doug Maloy, RTC Engineering Manager stated the project name will be changed to Multimodal Vassar Street Project at Kietzke Lane to Terminal Way.

Kelly Mullin made a motion to recommend approval of proposed amendment No. 1 to the FFY 2021-2025 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP).

Chris Tolley seconded.

The motion carried unanimously.

ITEM 5. DEVELOPMENT UPDATES

Kelly Mullin, City of Reno gave development updates for small to medium planning developments going to the Planning Commission in September and an ordinance change of updating the trees protection standard which, council has initiated and a draft will be in September and October.

ITEM 6. MEMBER ITEMS

Alex Wolfson, NDOT gave a member item on the SBX project and the major lanes closed for Hot August Nights (HAN). Mill Street and Second Street on – off ramp changes are underway.

Kevin Verre, NDOT gave a member item on the 2nd public meeting for the Mount Rose Corridor Study on Tuesday, August 10, 2021 at 4:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. at Tamarack. He stated the meeting will be a hybrid meeting and to go to NDOT’s website for more information.

Sandy Freund, WCSD gave a member item of the school year starts on August 9, 2021, and school zones will be turned on.

Lissa Butterfield, RTAA gave a member item on the landside facilities planning study which, is finalized of the forecasts next 20 years with access road level of service for peak areas and public parking estimate to construction of 1,600 public parking spaces. She stated rental car facilities are a 50% capacity and RTAA is looking into an increase capacity and an upcoming deferred development plan.

Chris Tolley, TMRPA gave a member item on the Truckee Meadows Annual Report which, is on the website: www.tmrpa.org/tmar and the obtain of the CIP data will be in a future RPC and RRGB meeting. Updates on the natural resources plan and currently working on the scope of work approved by the RPC this last Monday and RRGB the on August 12, 2021, of next week.

Kevin Verre, NDOT gave a member item on annual work program amendment to the STIP and public comment is on August 16, 2021.

ITEM 7. AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE TAC MEETINGS

There were no agenda items given.

ITEM 8. RTC STAFF ITEMS

Rebecca Kapuler, RTC Planner stated the TA Set-Aside program is ongoing until October 1, 2021, and to contact her with any questions.

David Carr, RTC Public Transportation and Operation Facilities/Fleet Manager announced the RTC RIDE service changes which, are in effect for August 14, 2021.

ITEM 9. PUBLIC COMMENT

There were no public comments given.

ITEM 10. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 9:31 a.m.



REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Metropolitan Planning • Public Transportation & Operations • Engineering & Construction

Metropolitan Planning Organization of Washoe County, Nevada

MEETING DATE: September 2, 2021

AGENDA ITEM 4

To: Technical Advisory Committee

From: Alex Wolfson
NDOT Engineering Manager, District 2

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Acknowledge receipt of a presentation regarding the potential renaming of the Cold Springs and Bordertown interchanges on US 395.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

The Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) is considering a name change to the Cold Springs and Bordertown interchanges on US 395 as part of an upcoming repaving project.



REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Metropolitan Planning • Public Transportation & Operations • Engineering & Construction

Metropolitan Planning Organization of Washoe County, Nevada

MEETING DATE: September 2, 2021

AGENDA ITEM 5

To: Technical Advisory Committee

From: Dale Keller, P.E., Engineering Manager

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Acknowledge receipt of a report on the 7th Edition Regional Road Impact Fee (RRIF) General Administrative Manual (GAM) including the RRIF Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

In accordance with the provisions of the Interlocal Cooperative Agreement entered into by the RTC, Washoe County, the City of Reno, and the City of Sparks, the RTC is responsible for initiating periodic reviews of the RRIF program. The review process was initiated by the approval of the long-range 2050 Regional Transportation Plan (the “2050 RTP”). The RRIF Program uses RTC’s transportation planning efforts, as reflected in the 2050 RTP, to define the list of capital improvements necessitated by and attributable to the new development in each service area. The list of projects for each service area is identified in Exhibit C of the RRIF CIP.

Presented within, the RRIF GAM and RRIF CIP reflects the review and input from the RRIF Technical Advisory Committee including but not limited to:

1. The new list of capacity improvements projects based on the first 10 years of the 2050 RTP for the North and South Service Areas;
2. The methodology used to calculate the RRIF fees;
3. The proposed changes to the GAM related to improving clarity and conformity with the administration of the manual; and
4. The updated appeals process for a feepayer or application affected by a final administrative decision.

By moving forward with the recommended action, staff will present the 7th Edition RRIF GAM and CIP to the RTC Board and begin the adoption process.

ATTACHMENT

- A. 7th Edition RRIF General Administrative Manual
- B. 7th Edition RRIF Capital Improvement Plan

REGIONAL ROAD IMPACT FEE PROGRAM

GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE MANUAL

7TH EDITION



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
LIST OF EXHIBITS	iii
DEFINITIONS	1
I. PURPOSE AND INTENT	6
II. ADMINISTRATIVE ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITY	6
III. IMPOSITION OF RRIF FEES.....	8
IV. DETERMINATION OF RRIF FEE BASED ON FEE SCHEDULE.....	13
V. ADMINISTRATIVE DETERMINATION OF RRIF FEES	22
VI. INDEPENDENT FEE CALCULATION STUDY.....	24
VII. STUDIES TO ESTABLISH NEW/REDEFINED LAND USE CATEGORIES	29
VIII. REFUNDS	30
IX. EXEMPTIONS	33
X. RRIF WAIVERS REQUESTED AFTER THE 5TH EDITION RRIF GAM/CIP (3/2/2015) UPDATE.....	36
XI. UNEXPIRED CREDITS APPROVED PRIOR TO THE 5 th EDITION RRIF GAM/CIP (3/2/2015) UPDATE.....	43
XII. APPEALS	44
XIII. USE OF FUNDS.....	46
XIV. AMENDMENTS TO THE RRIF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN	48
XV. BIENNIAL REVIEW	49
XVI. ADOPTION.....	50

LIST OF EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT A – EXPANDED LIST OF LAND USES

EXHIBIT B – RRIF SERVICE AREAS

EXHIBIT C – CCFEA CREDIT BENEFIT DISTRICTS

EXHIBIT D – RRIF EXEMPTION LIST

EXHIBIT E – TRAFFIC REPORT GUIDELINES

EXHIBIT F – MIXED USE AND CHANGE OF USE WORKSHEETS

EXHIBIT G – EXAMPLE RRIF WAIVER CALCULATION

DEFINITIONS

Accessory Use: Accessory land uses are uses which are supplemental to, and on a floor area basis that are less than 25% of, the primary land use. The fee for the accessory use is based on the primary land use.

Appeal Committee: A committee of three subject matter experts composed of one representative of each of the Participating Local Governments. The Local RRIF Administrator of each Participating Local Government shall select its representative.

Auxiliary Use: Auxiliary land uses are uses which are secondary to the primary land use and are typically not measured in the same units as are used for fee assessment. For example, the unit of assessment for a golf course is per hole. A separate fee is not calculated for the golf course storage and maintenance buildings since they are an auxiliary use.

Building Gross Floor Area (GFA): The gross floor area of a building is the sum (in square feet) of the area of each floor level, including cellars, basements, mezzanines, penthouses, corridors, lobbies, store and offices that are within the principal outside faces of exterior walls, not including architectural setbacks or projections. Included are all areas that have floor surfaces with clear standing head room (6 feet, 6 inches minimum) regardless of their use. Unroofed areas and unenclosed roof-over spaces, except those contained within the principal outside faces of exterior walls, should be excluded. Parking garages within the building should not be included within the GFA of the entire building.

Capital Contribution Front-Ending Agreement (CCFEA): An agreement, entered into prior to the adoption of the 5th Edition RRIF GAM/CIP (3/2/2015), related to the third-party construction of improvements or dedication of rights-of-way.

Capital Improvements Advisory Committee: The committee required by NRS 278B.150 to oversee the creation of and advise the local government regarding Land Use Assumptions and the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan. The Planning Commissions of each Participating Local Government act collectively as the Capital Improvement Advisory Committee.

CCFEA Credit Benefit District: The benefit districts, within which CCFEA Credits were issued pursuant to a valid unexpired CCFEA, and within which CCFEA Credits may be used and transferred, as provided by the provisions in this Manual and the terms of applicable CCFEAs. The Northwest (NW), Northeast (NE), and South (S) CCFEA Credit Benefit Districts are shown in Exhibit C of this Manual.

CCFEA Credits: A substitute method of payment for assessed road impact fees used prior to the 5th Edition RRIF GAM/CIP (3/2/2015), which were denominated in terms of VMT. CCFEA Credits were issued pursuant to Capital Contribution Front-Ending Agreements (CCFEAs). The term "CCFEA Credit," as used in this Manual, refers only to credits issued prior to the 5th Edition RRIF GAM/CIP and pursuant to a valid, unexpired CCFEA.

Certificate of Occupancy: A document issued by a building department certifying a building's compliance with applicable building codes, etc., and indicating it to be in a condition suitable for occupancy.

Developer of Record: The owner of the Development of Record for which RRIF Fees are paid, or to which an Offset Agreement is subject; or authorized agent. The Developer of Record includes any successors or assigns to an Offset Agreement.

Development of Record: The property on which a development is proposed and for which impact fees are assessed and imposed by a Participating Local Government.

Dwelling Unit: One or more rooms in a residential building or residential portion of a building which are arranged, designed, used, or intended for occupancy by an individual or a group of individuals, acting as a single housekeeping unit, and which include permanent provisions for living, sleeping, eating, cooking, and sanitary facilities reserved for the occupants thereof.

Feepayer: Any person who seeks to develop land located within one of the Service Areas by applying to one of the Participating Local Governments for the issuance of a building permit in order to make an improvement to land which will generate or attract additional traffic, and as such, is required to pay RRIF Fees in the manner and amount set forth in this Manual.

Interim RRIF Waivers: Interim RRIF Waivers may be issued during phases of construction or dedication of land that provide reasonable assurance that over-crediting shall not occur. Conditions for issuance of Interim RRIF Waivers shall be identified in the Offset Agreement.

Land Use Assumptions: As defined by NRS 278B, projections of changes in land uses, densities, intensities and population for a specified Service Area over a period of at least 10 years, and in accordance with the master plan of the Participating Local Government.

Local RRIF Administrator: An individual appointed by the City or County Manager within the jurisdiction of the Participating Local Government to perform the activities specified in this Manual.

Mixed Use Development: Development that includes both residential and non-residential land uses.

Mixed Use Structure: A particular structure that includes accessory uses associated with the primary land uses. For example, in addition to the actual production of goods, manufacturing facilities may also have office, warehouse, research, and other associated functions.

Notice of RRIF Waiver: A notice issued by the RTC RRIF Administrator to the affected Participating Local Government indicating that the terms of an executed Offset Agreement have been met and authorizing RRIF Waivers for the Development of Record.

Offered Improvement: A contribution, payment, construction, or land dedication offered by the Developer of Record as an Offset-Eligible Improvement.

Offset Agreement: An agreement entered into by the Developer of Record, the RTC, and a Participating Local Government for Offset-Eligible Improvements. The Offset Agreement must set forth the terms and conditions related to the RRIF Waivers for the Offset-Eligible Improvements.

Offset-Eligible Costs: The approved costs of Offset-Eligible Improvements as indicated in the Notice of RRIF Waiver.

Offset-Eligible Improvements: The capital improvements and right-of-way dedications needed for a RRIF Capital Improvement, and appurtenances, traffic signals and other incidentals necessary for such facilities, including:

- (a) land, property rights, and easements including the costs of acquisition or condemnation;
- (b) professional services associated with actual construction;
- (c) new road construction, including new travel lanes (except that the first two travel lanes are not eligible), new turn lanes, and new bridges;
- (d) relocation of utilities to accommodate new road construction; and
- (e) in association with new road alignments, necessary drainage facilities, street lighting, traffic signalization, curbing, sidewalks, medians and shoulders, and bicycle and pedestrian facilities.

Original CCFEA Credits: CCFEA Credits against assessed road impact fees awarded to a developer by the RTC, as opposed to Transferred CCFEA Credits.

Participating Local Governments: The City of Reno, the City of Sparks, and Washoe County.

Percent New Trips: The percentage of trips to a land use that are Primary Trips.

Primary Trips: Trips to a land use for which that land use is the primary destination, as opposed to trips that stop at a land use on the way to another land use (pass-by trips), or trips that take a short diversion from the route to the primary destination (diverted-link trips).

Regional Transportation Commission (RTC): The regional transportation commission created pursuant to NRS 277A that coordinates joint efforts of the Participating Local Governments to administer the RRIF Program pursuant to the RRIF Interlocal Cooperative Agreement.

RRIF Automation Program: The program accessible through the RTC website for the administration, tracking and transferring of RRIF Waivers and CCFEA Credits.

RRIF Capital Improvements: The capacity adding “street projects” and “facility expansions” listed in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan that are necessitated by and attributable to new development in each Service Area. Site-Related Improvements are not RRIF Capital Improvements.

RRIF Capital Improvements Plan: The plan required by NRS 278B.170 which is updated and adopted in connection with this Manual.

RRIF Fees: The regional road impact fees assessed by Participating Local Governments to fund RRIF Capital Improvements within the designated Service Area.

RRIF Interlocal Cooperative Agreement: An agreement among the Participating Local Governments and the RTC for the implementation of the RRIF Program.

RRIF Network: The existing or planned arterial or collector streets and roads that meet the criteria specified in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan.

RRIF Ordinance: The ordinance prepared by the RTC and adopted, in substantially the same form, by the Participating Local Governments to implement the RRIF Program.

RRIF Program: The regional road impact fee program established by the Participating Local Governments and the RTC pursuant to NRS 278B, the RRIF Interlocal Cooperative Agreement, and the RRIF Ordinance.

RRIF Waiver: A waiver of the payment of RRIF Fees in exchange for dedication of Offset-Eligible Improvements. RRIF Waivers will be denominated in dollars and land use based on the fee schedule in effect as of the date of approval of the Offset Agreement.

RTC Board: The Board of the Regional Transportation Commission.

RTC RRIF Administrator: The individual named by the RTC Executive Director to direct the administration of the RRIF Program.

Service Area: As defined by NRS 278B, the areas within the boundaries of local government which are served directly and benefited by the RRIF Capital Improvements in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan. The Service Areas are shown in Exhibit B of this Manual.

Shell Permit: A building permit to construct the “shell” of a building. Remodeling permits would be issued later to finish construction of the interior of the structure.

Site-Related Improvements: Capital improvements and right-of-way dedications for direct access improvements to the Development of Record, including, but not limited to, (1) site driveways and streets, turn lanes into those driveways and streets, and/or traffic control measures for those driveways and/or non-regional roadways; and (2) frontage streets. Site-Related Improvements are not RRIF Capital Improvements, and are not included in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan.

Transferred CCFEA Credits: Unused CCFEA Credits which have been transferred by an original Developer of Record to a party other than the RTC. Upon transfer, Original CCFEA Credits become Transferred CCFEA Credits and are subject to usage as identified in this Manual and the associated CCFEA Agreement.

Trip Generation Rate: The average number of trip ends generated during the afternoon peak hour of adjacent street traffic during a weekday per unit of measurement of the land use (e.g., dwelling unit, 1,000 square feet).

Trip Length: The average length in miles on the RRIF Network for all trips ending within the designated Service Area.

Trust Fund: An interest-bearing holding account for RRIF Fee collections.

Vehicle-Mile of Travel (VMT): A unit of travel demand consisting of a motor vehicle traveling for one mile.

REGIONAL ROAD IMPACT FEES GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE MANUAL

I. PURPOSE AND INTENT

This Manual guides the RTC and the Participating Local Governments in the administration of the RRIF Program.

II. ADMINISTRATIVE ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITY

A. Regional Transportation Commission

The RRIF Interlocal Cooperative Agreement assigns the RTC the task of coordinating the joint efforts of the Participating Local Governments to administer the RRIF Program. The RTC shall be responsible for the following:

1. To coordinate any updates to the Service Areas' Land Use Assumptions adopted by each of the Participating Local Governments.
2. To conduct a transportation study of the Service Areas prior to each update of the system, and propose any changes to the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan. Any proposed changes by the RTC shall be adopted by each of the Participating Local Governments.
3. To prepare any changes to the RRIF Ordinance to implement the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan. After its preparation by the RTC, any changes shall be adopted by each of the Participating Local Governments.
4. To expend RRIF Fees on those RRIF Capital Improvements included in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan, as selected and approved by the RTC Board.
5. To administer the RRIF Program pursuant to this Manual. The Executive Director of the RTC shall appoint an RTC RRIF Administrator who shall be responsible for the administration of the RRIF Program. The RTC RRIF Administrator shall be responsible for the following.
 - a. Administration of independent fee calculation studies, CCFEA Credits, RRIF Waivers and refunds.
 - b. Receipt of the RRIF Fees from the Participating Local Governments and deposit of these funds into an interest-bearing Trust Fund.

- c. Administration of the expenditure of RRIF Fees in the RTC's Trust Fund for RRIF Capital Improvements in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan.
 - d. Initiation of a review of the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan and the RRIF Ordinance, to determine whether any modifications need to be made to the RRIF Program. This review will be submitted to the RTC Board and the governing bodies of the Participating Local Governments. Before any modifications to the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan or the RRIF Ordinance can become effective, such modifications shall be approved by each of the governing bodies of the Participating Local Governments.
6. To honor written agreements entered into by the Participating Local Governments prior to December 15, 1995, which granted credits under predecessor road fee systems.

B. Participating Local Governments

The RRIF Interlocal Cooperative Agreements assigns the following responsibilities to the Participating Local Governments:

- 1. To ensure the Planning Commissions of each Participating Local Government act collectively as the Capital Improvement Advisory Committee.
- 2. To adopt the Service Areas' Land Use Assumptions prepared by the RTC, with any modifications jointly agreed to by the other Participating Local Governments.
- 3. To adopt the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan prepared by the RTC, with any modifications jointly agreed to by the other Participating Local Governments.
- 4. To adopt the RRIF Ordinance prepared by the RTC, with any modifications jointly agreed to by the other Participating Local Governments.
- 5. To approve Offset Agreements within their respective jurisdictions and accept Offset-Eligible Improvements.
- 6. To appoint a Local RRIF Administrator to oversee the determination of appropriate RRIF Fees, the collection of RRIF Fees, the keeping of necessary records of fee collections, the transmittal of the fees on a quarterly basis to the RTC RRIF Administrator, and the initial evaluation of requests for independent fee calculation studies, RRIF Waivers, and refunds to be referred to the RTC RRIF Administrator.

7. To designate either the Planning Commission or other local board to serve as the Capital Improvements Advisory Committee.
8. To approve amendments to the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan and the RRIF Ordinance prepared by the RTC, with any modifications jointly agreed to by the other Participating Local Governments.
9. To monitor the use of RRIF Waivers against the Notice of RRIF Waiver pursuant to an executed Offset Agreement.

III. IMPOSITION OF RRIF FEES

A. Service Areas

RRIF Fees shall be imposed on all new development within each Service Area. The Service Areas designate the areas within which RRIF Fees are collected and spent.

B. CCFEA Benefit Districts

The CCFEA Benefit Districts are shown in Exhibit C and designate the areas within which CCFEA Credits may be used.

C. Payment Due

1. General. RRIF Fees shall be paid at the time of issuance of a building permit or Certificate of Occupancy, as approved by the Participating Local Government. In the case of mobile home pads, payment shall be made prior to the issuance of a pad permit. Any activity requiring payment of RRIF Fees, may be made by personal check, cashier's check, or money order made payable to the Participating Local Government. Payment shall be made at the office of the Participating Local Government where building permits are issued and shall not be received prior to the time of issuance of a building permit.
2. Invalid Payment. In the event the payment of RRIF Fees subsequently proves to be invalid due to insufficient funds, the following action shall be taken:
 - a. Building permits, for which the payment of RRIF Fees subsequently proves to be invalid due to insufficient funds, shall be declared to be revoked. In such case, a stop-work order shall be placed on the site or building for which the building permit has been declared invalid.
 - b. The Local RRIF Administrator shall, within thirty (30) days of detection of invalid payment, notify the Feepayer, the

contractor, and the property owner by certified mail, return receipt requested, that:

- (1) the RRIF Fee is due by valid payment immediately upon receipt of said letter;
- (2) the stop-work order shall remain in effect until valid payment of the RRIF Fee is made;
- (3) if construction has been completed prior to detection of invalid payment of RRIF Fees, no Final Inspection will be performed and no Certificate of Occupancy will be issued until valid payment of the RRIF Fee is made; and
- (4) the amount due shall be the amount of the RRIF Fee plus the amount charged by the bank for the dishonored payment, plus a service charge of \$25.00.

3. Underpayment of RRIF Fee Based on Error or Misrepresentation. If it is determined that the RRIF Fee has been calculated and paid based on an error resulting in underpayment, then the RRIF Fee shall be recalculated based on the fee schedule in effect at the time the RRIF Fee was paid. If the re-calculated RRIF Fee is greater than the amount paid, then the following action shall be taken:

- a. The Local RRIF Administrator shall, within thirty (30) days of detection of payment made based on error, cause a “correction notice” to be issued and notify the Feepayer, the contractor, and the property owner by certified mail, return receipt requested, that,
 - (1) an additional amount is due by valid payment within thirty (30) days of receipt of said letter; and
 - (2) if the additional amount is not paid within thirty (30) days of the receipt of said letter, the Participating Local Government may pursue collection through liens or other local procedures used to collect fees.
- b. If an additional amount is owed, no permits of any type may be issued for the building or structure in question, or for any other part of a development of which the building or structure in question is a part, while the fee remains unpaid.
- c. If the additional amount is not paid by the original Feepayer within thirty (30) days of the date of the letter, the Local RRIF Administrator will pursue payment of the additional amount from the current property owner. The Participating Local

Government will not be responsible for resolving disputes for payment of additional amounts which may arise between an original Feepayer and the current property owner.

4. Overpayment of RRIF Fee Based on Error. If it is determined that the RRIF Fee has been calculated and paid based on error resulting in overpayment, then the fee shall be recalculated based on the fee schedule in effect at the time the RRIF Fee was paid. If the recalculated RRIF Fee is less than the amount paid, then the following action shall be taken:
 - a. The Local RRIF Administrator shall, within thirty (30) days of detection of payment made based on error, notify the Feepayer by certified mail, return receipt requested, that the Feepayer is entitled to a refund.
 - b. The Feepayer may submit a written request for refund to the Local RRIF Administrator within thirty (30) days of the date of the refund notice letter.
 - c. Following receipt of a written request for a refund, the amount of the overpayment shall be refunded, without interest, to the Feepayer.

E. Determination of RRIF Fee

1. General. The amount of the RRIF Fee shall be determined by the Local RRIF Administrator based on the fee schedule in effect as of the date of the payment of the RRIF Fee, whether payment is made at the time of issuance of the building permit or the Certificate of Occupancy. RRIF Fee payments cannot be made and will not be received by the Local RRIF Administrator prior to the date of issuance of a building permit. The calculation of exemptions, refunds, and RRIF Waivers and the determination of the RRIF Fee shall be the responsibility of the Local RRIF Administrator and the RTC RRIF Administrator, as specified in this Manual.
2. Payment of RRIF Fees with RRIF Waivers or CCFEA Credits:
 - a. RRIF Waivers. RRIF Fees may be waived if the RTC RRIF Administrator has issued a Notice of RRIF Waiver to the Participating Local Government, pursuant to a valid, unexpired Offset Agreement, in accordance with the provisions of Section X, Impact Fee Offsets Requested After the adoption of the 5th Edition RRIF GAM/CIP (3/2/2015).

In the event, payment of RRIF Fees were made in cash where RRIF Waivers were available, the Feepayer may submit a written request to the Local RRIF Administrator within ninety

(90) days from the date of payment. If approved, the Feepayer shall submit proof of payment by RRIF Waiver to the Local RRIF Administrator prior to receiving the cash refund. All reimbursements will be handled through the Participating Local Government.

- b. CCFEA Credits. RRIF Fees may be paid with CCFEA Credits pursuant to Section XI, Unexpired Credits Approved Prior to the adoption of the 5th Edition RRIF GAM/CIP (3/2/2015).

F. Expiration of Building Permits

1. If, following payment of RRIF Fees, a building permit, mobile home set-up permit, or recreational vehicle park building permit expires, is revoked, or is voluntarily surrendered and is, therefore, voided and no construction or improvement of land has commenced, then the Feepayer shall be entitled to a refund, without interest, of the full amount of the RRIF Fee which was paid as a condition for issuance of the building permit.
 - a. The Feepayer may submit a written request for such a refund to the Local RRIF Administrator within thirty (30) days of the expiration, revocation, or surrender of the permit.
 - b. In the case of an expired, revoked, or voluntarily surrendered permit that was obtained in whole or in part by the use of RRIF Waivers or CCFEA Credits, the entire RRIF Fee may be refunded only if a written request is made to the RTC RRIF Administrator within thirty (30) days of the expiration, revocation, or surrender of the building permit. The refund will be in the same proportion (cash vs. RRIF Waiver vs CCFEA Credit) as the original payment. For refunds related to a RRIF Waiver, the RTC will notify the affected Participating Local Government to adjust the remaining RRIF Waiver balance associated with the Development of Record.
2. If a refund is made, the Feepayer must pay the appropriate RRIF Fee if he reapplies for the permit.
3. If a permit expires and no refund has been issued, a Feepayer will not have to pay the RRIF Fee again if he reapplies for the permit for the same land use of the same lot, parcel, or tract and the RRIF Fee for that land use has not changed.
 - a. If the RRIF Fee for the particular land use has increased between the time of original and new building permit, the Feepayer shall pay the difference at the time of re-application. If the RRIF Fee for the particular land use has decreased

between the time of original and new building permit, the Feepayer shall be entitled to a refund of the difference at the time of re-application.

- b. A refund for previous payment of an impact fee must be requested by the Feepayer. Any exemption, credit, or refund not so requested prior to or at the time of re-application shall be deemed waived by the Feepayer.
 - c. If the RRIF Fee was paid in total or in part with RRIF Waivers, that portion paid with the RRIF Waiver is subject to the terms and conditions of the Offset Agreement related to the RRIF Waivers.
4. A refund of the RRIF Fee shall not be granted if the building permit expires, but construction has commenced, i.e., the foundation inspection for the structure has been passed. In this case, the Feepayer will not have to pay an impact fee if he re-applies for a building permit, except in the case where the RRIF Fee has increased between the time of the original building permit and the new building permit. In any case of re-application, the provisions of Section IV.F, Change of Use, shall apply.
 5. If RRIF Fees were not paid at the time of the building permit, no RRIF Fees shall be due if a building permit, mobile home set-up permit, or recreational vehicle park building permit expires, is revoked, or is voluntarily surrendered and is, therefore, voided and no construction or improvement of land has commenced.

IV. DETERMINATION OF RRIF FEE BASED ON FEE SCHEDULE

The amount of the RRIF Fee will be determined pursuant to the provisions of this Section unless an administrative determination is necessary.

A. Land Use Classification

The Local RRIF Administrator will classify the proposed use into one or more of the land use categories included in the fee schedule, based on the following guidelines.

1. Land Use Definitions. The general land use categories included in the fee schedule are defined as follows:
 - a. Residential
 - Single-Family. A single-family dwelling unit located on a single lot, including duplexes, condominiums, and townhouses.

Multi-Family. Residential properties with three or more housing units.

b. Industrial

General Light Industry. An industrial establishment that usually employs fewer than 500 persons and has an emphasis on activities other than manufacturing. Typical light industrial activities include printing plants, material testing, assembly of data processing equipment, and power stations.

Manufacturing. A site where the primary activity is the conversion of raw materials or parts into finished products. Size and type of activity may vary substantially from one facility to another. In addition to actual production of goods, manufacturing facilities generally also have office, warehouse, research, and associated functions.

Warehouse. An establishment primarily devoted to the storage of materials, which also may include office and maintenance areas.

Mini-Warehouse. A building in which a storage unit or vault is rented for the storage of goods. Each unit is physically separated from other units and access is usually provided through an overhead door or other common access point. They are typically referred to as “self-storage” facilities.

c. Commercial

General Commercial. A shopping center or an individual free-standing store selling general or specialty merchandise. See Section IV.N for additional information on calculating shopping center fees.

Eating/Drinking Places. Buildings or tenant spaces containing eating or drinking establishments.

Casino/Gaming. An establishment which provides non-restricted gaming operations.

d. Office & Other Services

Day Care Center. A facility where care for pre-school aged children is provided, normally during the daytime hours. Day care facilities generally include classrooms, offices, eating areas and playgrounds. Some centers also provide after-school care for children.

Schools. (see Note 1 & Note 2 below) – An educational facility that serves students including elementary, middle school, high school, college/university and technical trade schools.

***Note 1.** In accordance with amendments made to NRS 278B, property owned by a public school district is exempt from paying impact fees. However, schools or private uses housed within public school district facilities are not exempt from impact fees.

***Note 2.** The State Attorney General has issued an opinion that the State University System is exempt from local impact fees (Opinion No. 97-29). Private universities, colleges, etc. are subject to impact fees.

Hospital. Any institution where medical or surgical care and overnight accommodations are provided to non-ambulatory and ambulatory patients. Does not refer to medical clinics or nursing homes.

General Office. A building or tenant spaces where affairs of businesses, commercial or industrial organizations, or professional persons or firms are conducted. An office building or buildings may contain a mixture of tenants including professional services, insurance companies, investment brokers, and tenant services such as a bank or savings and loan.

Medical-Dental Office Building. A facility that provides diagnoses and outpatient care on a routine basis, but which is unable to provide prolonged in-house medical/surgical care. This type of building is generally operated by multiple private physicians or dentists with high volume patient activity.

Nursing Home. A facility whose primary function is to care for persons who are unable to care for themselves. Examples of such facilities include rest homes, chronic care, and convalescent homes. Skilled nurses and nursing aides are present 24 hours a day at these sites. Nursing homes are occupied by residents who do little or no driving; traffic is primarily generated by employees, visitors and deliveries.

Lodging. A building or any part thereof, kept, used as, maintained as, or advertised as, or held out to the public to be a place where sleeping accommodations are furnished to the public whether with or without meals and furnishing accommodations for periods of less than one month.

Regional Recreational Facilities. Regionally significant recreational facilities, including but not limited to ball fields, boating or swimming facilities, campsites or other indoor/outdoor recreational uses.

2. Expanded Use Listing. An expanded list of specific land uses is provided in Exhibit A. This list will be used by the Local RRIF Administration in conjunction with the above definitions to assign a specific land use to one of the land use categories in the fee schedule.
3. North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). In the event that the classification established by this Manual is unclear, the most current edition of the NAICS Manual, as published by the Department of Commerce, Bureau of Census, shall be used as the final authority.
4. Alternative Methods. If it is determined that there is no comparable type of land use in the fee schedule or Exhibit A, the RRIF Fee shall be determined administratively as described in Section V of this Manual. If a Feepayer opts not to have the RRIF Fee determined according to the fee schedule or determined administratively, then the Feepayer shall prepare and submit an independent fee calculation study in accordance with Section VI of this Manual.

B. Units of Development

Once a proposed development has been classified into one or more of the general land use categories included in the fee schedule, the RRIF Fee shall be determined by multiplying the fee amount per unit of development for each land use category by the number of proposed development units.

C. Mixed Use Development

If a parcel or development includes both residential and non-residential land uses, the RRIF Fees are assessed for each use based on the fee schedule and the results are aggregated. In some cases, Feepayers may suggest that the RRIF Fee should be reduced to account for internal trips between residential and non-residential land uses. There are no provisions in this Manual for such a reduction. However, the Feepayer has the option of completing an Independent Fee Calculation Study in accordance with Section VI of this Manual.

D. Mixed Use Structures

1. In many instances, a structure or structures may include accessory uses associated with the primary land use. For example, in addition to the actual production of goods, manufacturing facilities may also have office, warehouse, research, and other associated functions.

The RRIF Fee shall be assessed based on the primary land use, as determined by the Local RRIF Administrator.

2. To be considered an accessory land use in a mixed use structure or structures, a land use must satisfy two conditions: The principle function of each accessory land use must be to support the primary land use and it must be 25% (see subsection 3 below) or less of the GFA of the primary land use. The Feepayer shall certify in writing to the Local RRIF Administrator that the principle function of any land use claimed as an accessory land use is to support a primary land use and, further, identify the supported primary land use. Any use, which does not meet both these criteria, regardless of size, shall be considered a primary land use and the RRIF Fee shall be calculated accordingly. For example, a Feepayer with a 10,000 square foot structure certifies that the primary land use is 8,000 square feet (SF) of manufacturing with functions, principally in support of the manufacturing use, consisting of 1,000 SF (12.5%) of warehouse, and 1,000 SF (12.5%) of office. Since the warehouse and office uses have been certified to be principally in support of the primary land use and each supportive function is less than 25% of the primary land use GFA, they are legitimate accessory uses. The RRIF Fee for the entire 10,000 square foot structure is therefore based on the primary land use rate for manufacturing.
3. If any use which supports the primary land use is greater than 25% of the GFA of the primary land use, it becomes an additional primary land use. Therefore, a mixed-use structure may have more than one primary land use. The RRIF Fees are then assessed for each primary land use based on the fee schedule and the results are aggregated. Accessory land uses to the primary land uses are treated as described in Section IV.D. For example, a Feepayer with a 10,000 square foot structure certifies that the primary land use is 6,000 SF of manufacturing, with supportive functions of 3,000 SF (50%) of warehouse, and 1,000 SF (16.7%) of office. Since the warehouse use is greater than 25% of the GFA of the manufacturing use, it becomes an additional primary land use. The RRIF Fee is therefore based on the two primary land use rates: 7,000 SF at the manufacturing rate (6,000 manufacturing + 1,000 office) plus 3,000 SF at the warehouse rate.
4. In the case of a mixed-use structure with more than one primary land use, the RRIF Fees are assessed for each primary land use based on the fee schedule and the results are aggregated. For example, a Feepayer with a 10,000 square foot structure certifies that there are two primary land uses, namely, 8,000 SF of general office and 2,000 SF of daycare that is unrelated to the office operation. The general office primary land use is further broken down to 7,000 SF of general office use and a supportive function of 1,000 SF (14%) of warehouse.

The RRIF Fee would then be based on the primary land use rates of 8,000 SF at the general office rate plus 2,000 SF at the daycare rate. If the supporting warehouse function to the general office had been greater than 25% of the general office GFA, the warehouse function would become an additional primary land use. In the above example, if the warehouse area had been 2,000 SF (33%), the RRIF Fee would then be based on three primary land use rates of 6,000 SF at the general office rate, plus 2,000 SF at the warehouse rate, plus 2,000 SF at the daycare rate.

Note: see Exhibit F for blank worksheets

5. In all cases, the burden shall be on the Feepayer to provide written certification to the satisfaction of the Local RRIF Administrator of the breakdown of the primary land uses and any supportive accessory uses in percent and GFA.

Note: 25% is based on the International Building Code, Current Edition

E. Shell Permit

Developers will often apply for a building permit to construct the “shell” of a building, i.e. a Shell Permit. Remodeling permits would be issued later to finish construction of the interior of the structure. The RRIF Fee shall be paid prior to the issuance of the building permit for construction of the shell or prior to the Certificate of Occupancy, as approved by the Local Participating Government. The amount of the RRIF Fee shall be based on the intended land use as described by the developer. If the intended land use is not known, and in the absence of a contract or lease stating what the use will be, the RRIF Fees shall be assessed based on the land use allowed under the existing zoning for the lot or parcel which generates the least traffic impact as determined by the Local RRIF Administrator. If it is found during review of the application for a remodeling permit that the actual land use differs from the intended land use as described by the developer, a determination shall be made as to whether or not an additional RRIF Fee is due based on the procedures for Change of Use in Section IV.F. If so, the additional RRIF Fee shall be paid prior to the issuance of a remodeling permit for the completion of the building.

F. Change of Use

1. In the case of a change of use, redevelopment, or modification of a previous land use, which requires the issuance of a building permit, the RRIF Fee shall be based upon the net increase in the RRIF Fee for the new use as compared to the previous use. The amount of the RRIF Fee due as a result of the change in land use shall be determined and paid at the time that the Feepayer applies for the building permit.

2. Previous land use shall be the most recent lawful land use physically existing and active on the property. The Feepayer shall furnish documentation required by the Local RRIF Administrator to determine the most recent previous use, including any gaps in time when there was no use. In the absence of satisfactory documentation, the Local RRIF Administrator shall treat the parcel as vacant land.

The burden shall be on the Feepayer to provide written certification to the satisfaction of the Local RRIF Administrator of the breakdown of the primary land uses and any supportive accessory uses in percent and GFA of the existing and the proposed changes to the land use. For example, an existing 10,000 square foot manufacturing structure, as certified by the Feepayer, consists of 8,000 SF of manufacturing and supportive functions of 1,500 SF (18.8%) warehouse and 500 SF (6.3%) office. The proposed changes to this 10,000 square foot structure, as certified by the Feepayer, will result in 7,500 SF of manufacturing and supportive functions of 1,800 SF (24.6%) of warehouse and 700 SF (9.3%) office. With these changes, the accessory uses still remain below the 25% threshold as stated in Section IV.D, Mixed Use Structures. In this case, no RRIF Fee will be charged. If the entire incremental change had been in the warehouse use (i.e. 7,500 SF of manufacturing, 2,000 SF of warehouse, and 500 SF of office), the warehouse use would become an additional primary land use since the warehouse use is now greater than 25% as stated in Section IV.D, Mixed Use Structures. The RRIF Fee is now based on 8,000 SF at the manufacturing rate plus 2,000 SF at the warehouse rate. If the new RRIF Fee is greater than the original RRIF Fee, an additional fee amount is charged for the difference between the new fee and the original fee. If the new fee amount is less than the original fee amount, no additional fee amount is charged. Under no circumstances will a refund of RRIF Fees be granted for a change in use.

Note: see Exhibit F for blank worksheets

3. The Local RRIF Administrator shall calculate the RRIF Fee due to a change in use. The Local RRIF Administrator shall be guided in the determination of the RRIF Fee by the sources listed in Section IV.A, Land Use Classification. Under no circumstances will a refund of the RRIF Fee be granted for change of use.

G. Auxiliary Uses

Auxiliary land uses are uses which are secondary to the primary land use and are typically not measured in the same units as are used for fee assessment. For example, an apartment complex where the unit of

assessment would be per dwelling which has a clubhouse for use of the tenants. The club house would be an auxiliary use and would generally not be assessed a separate impact fee unless it can be established by the Local RRIF Administrator that the auxiliary land use serves as an individual attraction. However, structures that meet the definition of a “dwelling” are not exempted as auxiliary uses.

H. Facilities Constructed for Private Use

For land uses limited exclusively to private use, which are internal to a particular development and for the exclusive use of residents within the development or their guests, and which, therefore have no off-site street impact, e.g., private clubhouse or dining facilities built as part of a planned development, no RRIF Fee will be charged if the following conditions are met:

1. The final approval, which identifies the facility, includes a condition of approval limiting the facility exclusively to private on-site use.
2. There exists sufficient authority and documentation that authorizes the Local RRIF Administrator to revoke the Certificate of Occupancy for the building or structure in question.
3. There exists sufficient authority and documentation which authorizes the Local RRIF Administrator to withhold all permits of all types on any and all phases of the development of which the building or structure is a part of until the correct impact fees are paid for public use of the facility, if public use occurs in violation of the condition.
4. In addition to the above, the Local RRIF Administrator is authorized to proceed with the actions and sanctions delineated in Section III.C.3, Underpayment of Fee Based on Error or Misrepresentation, if public use occurs in violation of the condition.

For land uses that are partially limited to private use internal to a particular development, only the portion of the facility devoted to public use will be assessed a RRIF Fee, if the final approval contains the same conditions listed in subsections 1-4 above, which identify and restrict part of the facility to exclusive private use and grants similar authorization to the Local RRIF Administrator if public use occurs in violation of the condition.

I. Relocation of Dwelling Unit

RRIF Fees shall be assessed for structures or mobile homes moved from one location to another, unless the structure or unit being moved is a replacement of an equivalent use at the new location. If the structure or mobile home so moved is replaced by an equivalent use at the old location, no RRIF Fee shall be due for the replacement use. In every case, the

burden of proving past payment of RRIF Fees, exemption or equivalency of use rests with the Feepayer.

J. Model Homes

Single-family model homes constructed on single-family lots shall pay the RRIF Fee for a single-family dwelling unit as shown on the fee schedule. Multi-family models shall pay the multi-family rate.

K. Mobile Homes

RRIF Fee for the set-up of a mobile home residence must be paid prior to the issuance of the requested permit. An exemption will be granted if it can be documented that a RRIF Fee has been paid previously for a mobile home set-up on the same lot, parcel, or space. Documentation to be used by the Local RRIF Administrator may include utility bills for the period of time in question, the tax rolls or other such records deemed appropriate by the Local RRIF Administrator. The RRIF Fee will be based on the single family dwelling.

L. Supplemental Units/Mother-in-law Attached or Detached Dwellings

RRIF Fee for supplemental (mother-in-law) units, attached or detached to a single family detached dwelling unit, are assessed at the multi-family rate in addition to the single family rate.

M. Recreational Vehicles (RV's)

The provision of an RV site will be assessed a RRIF Fee at the Lodging (Per Room) rate in the fee schedule. No RRIF Fees shall be assessed for "move in" of a recreational vehicle in an RV park that has already paid a RRIF Fee.

N. Shopping Centers

A shopping center is an integrated group of commercial establishments that is planned, developed, owned and managed as a unit. The RRIF Fee shall be assessed on the gross leasable area.

O. Casino/Gaming

RRIF Fees for new gaming/resort development will be determined based upon the number of hotel rooms and RV spaces, and the appropriate rates for these uses. Separate RRIF Fees for the gaming area or the typical gaming/resort amenities such as restaurants, incidental retail shopping, entertainment facilities, etc. shall not be charged.

For an existing casino/resort development, the RRIF Fees for adding additional rooms will be assessed at the hotel/motel rate. The RRIF Fees

for adding additional gaming/casino floor area with no additional rooms will be assessed at the casino gaming area rate. The RRIF Fees for adding additional gaming/casino floor area and rooms will be assessed at either the casino gaming area rate or the hotel/motel rate whichever produces the higher of the two fee amounts.

A Feepayer may request the Local RRIF Administrator or RTC RRIF Administrator to treat a new casino/resort development or an expansion of an existing casino/resort development as a phased project.

A phased project is considered to be a project where multiple building permits will be applied for over a period not to exceed five (5) years within the development area. RRIF Fees will be assessed as indicated in the previous paragraph except the RRIF Fee calculation will combine any previous building permits issued with each new building permit applied for within the five (5) year period. Any RRIF Fees collected on previous building permits will be subtracted from the new RRIF Fee calculation. A credit for a previous payment of RRIF Fees for a phased project must be requested by the Feepayer. Any credit not requested prior to or at the time of the application for a building permit shall be deemed waived by the Feepayer. It shall be the Feepayer's responsibility to furnish, as required by the Local RRIF Administrator or RTC RRIF Administrator, all information necessary to validate the phased project.

For example, a Feepayer may propose a phased project to expand the casino gaming area in the first year with the intention to expand rooms one (1) year later. A \$100,000 RRIF Fee is assessed for the additional gaming/casino floor area at the casino gaming area rate for the first building permit. For the expansion of the rooms a year later, a re-assessed \$500,000 RRIF Fee (based on the then current fee schedule) is calculated for the additional rooms at the hotel/motel rate. The RRIF Fee for the additional rooms would be \$500,000 - \$100,000 previously collected = \$400,000.

Other traffic generating land uses of a type or magnitude that are not typically associated with casino/resort development will be charged RRIF Fees, in addition to the room or casino gaming area based fees.

P. Houses of Worship

A building providing public worship services and generally housing an assembly hall or sanctuary, meeting rooms, classrooms and occasionally dining, catering, or party facilities. RRIF Fees will be assessed on the Office space. If applicable, an additional fee amount will be assessed for school and/or daycare areas.

Q. Convenience Store with Gas Pumps

RRIF Fees are assessed based on the total square footage of the convenience store building plus the area covered by the canopy for the gas pumps.

V. ADMINISTRATIVE DETERMINATION OF RRIF FEES

A. General

RRIF Fees shall be assessed in accordance with the land use type in the fee schedule at the time of impact fee payment. If it is determined that there is no comparable type of land use in the fee schedule, then the Local RRIF Administrator shall determine the RRIF Fee pursuant to the provisions of this Section. If the Feepayer disagrees with the RRIF Fee determined administratively (or based on the fee schedule), the Feepayer may prepare an independent fee calculation study in accordance with Section VI of this Manual.

B. Methodology

If it is determined that there is no comparable type of land use in the fee schedule, then the Local RRIF Administrator shall determine the RRIF Fee by:

1. Determining the Most Comparable Use. If the type of development activity is not specified in the fee schedule or in Exhibit A, the Local RRIF Administrator shall determine the RRIF Fee on the basis of the fee schedule applicable to the most nearly comparable type of land use. The Local RRIF Administrator shall be guided in the selection of a comparable type by the reports titled:
 - a. Trip Generation – An Informational Report and Trip Generation Handbook (latest edition), prepared by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE).
 - b. Articles or reports appearing in the ITE Journal as deemed acceptable by the Local RRIF Administrator.
 - c. Studies or reports done by or for the U.S. Department of Transportation, Nevada Department of Transportation, the RTC, Reno, Sparks, or Washoe County, and deemed acceptable by the Local RRIF Administrator.

These sources should also be used when possible to determine other relevant traffic parameters to the RRIF Fee calculation (i.e., trip length, Percent New Trips). In the event that those parameters are not available, the parameters identified in the fee schedule, applicable to the most nearly comparable type of land use should be used; and

Applying the formula:

$$\text{IMPACT FEE/UNIT} = \text{VMT/Unit} \times \text{NC}$$

$$\text{VMT/UNIT} = \text{ADT/Unit} \times \text{A} \times \text{B} \times \text{C}$$

Where:

ADT/Unit = Average number of trips generated per unit of development on a weekday

A = Trip Adjustment (%)

B = Trip Length Weight Factor (%)

C = Average Trip Length (Miles)

VMT/Unit = Vehicle-Miles of Travel per unit of development

NC= Net Cost per VMT. For the purposes of this formula, use the current net cost per VMT by Service Area as identified in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan.

C. Miscellaneous Land Uses Types

The Local RRIF Administrator shall maintain a list of the RRIF Fees determined administratively for miscellaneous land use types. The list of the RRIF Fees previously determined administratively that will be updated periodically as new RRIF Fees are determined.

D. Pre-Development Review RRIF Fee Calculation

Any person contemplating establishing a traffic-generating land development activity may request a preliminary determination of the RRIF Fees due from such development. A person requesting a pre-development review RRIF Fee calculation shall submit to the Local RRIF Administrator the pertinent information identified by the Local RRIF Administrator. Using the information regarding the proposed project as submitted, the Local RRIF Administrator will provide, within fifteen (15) days of the date of submittal, a preliminary calculation of the RRIF Fees due for the proposed project.

VI. INDEPENDENT FEE CALCULATION STUDY

A. Option to the Feepayer

If a Feepayer opts not to have the RRIF Fee determined according to the fee schedule or administratively, then the Feepayer shall prepare and submit an independent fee calculation study in accordance with this Section. The utilization of this option by the Feepayer shall not exempt the Feepayer from paying the RRIF Fee prior to the issuance of a building permit, mobile home set-up permit, or recreational vehicle building permit.

B. Notice of Intent by Feepayer

The Feepayer shall inform the RTC RRIF Administrator in writing of their intent to utilize an independent fee calculation study submitted with a fee of \$500, which is non-refundable and does not go toward RRIF Fee, for administrative costs associated with the review and decision on the study. The notice of intent shall include the basis for opting to do an independent fee calculation study. The RTC RRIF Administrator shall then schedule a pre-application meeting with the applicant and the Local RRIF Administrator.

C. Pre-Application Meeting

Before beginning the independent fee calculation study, the Feepayer or their designated representative shall attend a pre-application meeting with the RTC RRIF Administrator and the Local RRIF Administrator. The purpose of the pre-application meeting will be to discuss the procedures of the independent fee calculation study, the methodology to be employed, and the standards to be met.

Results, conclusions, and agreements reached at the pre-application meeting regarding the scope of the study, methodology, required forms or documentation, or procedures, which may not constitute a waiver of the provisions of this Manual, shall be placed in writing by the RTC RRIF Administrator in a memorandum, and a copy of the memorandum shall be sent to the applicant. The applicant shall acknowledge receipt and acceptance of this memorandum, in writing, to the RTC RRIF Administrator. By accepting this memorandum, the applicant is obligated to turn over the completed study to the RTC RRIF Administrator prior to payment of the RRIF Fee. Further, the applicant is obligated to abide by the findings of the study, **even if it results in the need to pay a greater fee than that which would have been paid had the RRIF Fee been determined under the provisions of Section IV or Section V of this Manual.**

D. General

1. The purpose of the independent fee calculation study is to measure the impact of the development in question on the RRIF Capital

Improvements included in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan. The fee computed for the development in question under the independent fee calculation study provisions is not intended to apply to other developments of the same use.

2. The independent fee calculation study shall follow the methodologies and formats which are agreed upon during the pre-application meeting and in accordance with any documentation or methodology required by this Manual.
3. The independent fee calculation study shall be prepared and presented by qualified, registered, and professional engineers with experience in traffic engineering. The methodology shall be consistent with best professional practice and support the central claim of the study. The study shall provide all necessary supporting documentation and information. Failure to adhere to best professional standards is a basis for rejection of the study. The applicant's submission shall certify that the study complies with best professional practices and this attestation shall be sealed.
4. The applicant shall submit the study to the RTC RRIF Administrator, at which time a 30-day review period shall begin.

E. Sufficiency Determination

1. The RTC RRIF Administrator, after consultation with the Local RRIF Administrator, will review the independent fee calculation study for sufficiency, methodology, technical accuracy, and findings. The RTC RRIF Administrator shall have thirty (30) days to inform the applicant, in writing, of any deficiencies or defects in the study, or to find the study complete and competent.
2. If the applicant does not respond to the RTC RRIF Administrator regarding a finding of deficiency within thirty (30) days of receipt of the notice, the RTC RRIF Administrator will consider the independent fee calculation study to be invalid.
3. The thirty (30) day sufficiency review shall begin when the submission is received and date stamped by the RTC RRIF Administrator. If the study is found to be deficient, the thirty (30) day time period shall begin again with the submission of a new or modified study.

F. Notification to Feepayer

Within thirty (30) days of submittal of the independent fee calculation study, the RTC RRIF Administrator shall notify the Feepayer in writing of the acceptance, conditional acceptance, or rejection of the study.

G. Determination of Fee

The determination of the amount of the RRIF Fee shall be made by the RTC RRIF Administrator. If the study is approved, the RRIF Fee will be determined on the basis of the study findings; if the study is denied, the RRIF Fee will be determined using the fee schedule or administratively.

H. Effective Date

The date at which the independent fee calculation study is approved by the RTC RRIF Administrator, or thirty (30) days after submission if there is no finding, shall be the effective date for any fees established pursuant to an independent fee calculation study.

I. Application for a Building Permit

It shall be the responsibility of the Feepayer, at the time of application for a permit, to submit a claim including supporting documentation for modified RRIF Fees resulting from an approved independent fee calculation study.

J. Independent Fee Calculation Guidelines

The impact fee structure identified in the RRIF Program was established based on average travel characteristics for land uses within Washoe County. While those characteristics and resultant RRIF Fees were based on the best available data and sound engineering practices, it is recognized that individuals may desire to conduct independent surveys of their project's trip generation, trip length, and Percent New Trips and recalculate their particular RRIF Fee per unit of development. All of the following variable inputs to the impact fee formula set forth in Section V.B must be included in any independent fee calculation study unless it is mutually agreeable between the RTC RRIF Administrator and the Feepayer to use established parameters as summarized in the fee schedule.

1. Trip Generation Rate Studies. The trip generation rates used in the calculation of the RRIF Fees are identified in the fee schedule. However, Feepayers conducting an independent fee calculation study shall be permitted to conduct local, independent surveys of trip generation rates to confirm or contradict the rates identified in the fee schedule or to establish rates for land uses not identified in the fee schedule.

The methodology for conducting an independent survey of trip generation rates is summarized as follows:

- a. A minimum of three (3) sites for the land use in question should be selected. The selected sites should be single-use sites with exclusive driveways.

- b. The site inventory and sites proposed for the survey shall be reviewed by the RTC RRIF Administrator, who will be responsible for the approval of the sites to be surveyed prior to initiation of the survey.
 - c. Two-way, 24-hour counts must be made for all driveways for three consecutive weekdays with counts recorded hourly, except during the peak periods of 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m.; 11:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.; and 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. during which periods counts shall be recorded at fifteen (15) minute intervals.
 - d. Equipment at each site should be checked periodically to ensure a proper count. No count should be conducted during a special event traffic day. Equipment failure or inclement weather should be grounds for aborting the count. If machine recordings are made, the original tapes must be submitted.
 - e. The trip generation date and generation rate shall be summarized and calculated in a report. Trip generation rates shall be calculated using the same unit basis (i.e., dwelling units, gross floor area, etc.) by use as identified in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan. All calculations and assumptions, such as seasonal adjustments, shall be clearly reported and documented.
 - f. All traffic counts and analyses shall be conducted by a qualified, state of Nevada registered professional engineer with experience in traffic engineering.
2. Trip Length Studies: Alternative trip length data shall be based upon origin/destination and trip purpose studies conducted at land uses the same as or comparable to the proposed land development activity. As with the trip generation studies, the following conditions shall be met:
- a. A minimum of three sites for the land use in question should be surveyed for three (3) consecutive weekdays.
 - b. The site inventory, sites proposed for the surveys, and the detailed survey methodology shall be reviewed and approved by the RTC RRIF Administrator prior to the initiation of the survey.
 - c. At a minimum, the following data must be obtained:
 - (1) Trip origin by location (major street intersection, landmark);

- (2) Trip destination by location (major street intersection, landmark); and
 - (3) Primary trip purpose.
- d. The origin/destination and trip purpose data should be coded and summarized using the summary report form provided by the RTC RRIF Administrator. All calculations and assumptions, including documentation of the sample size confidence level, shall be clearly reported and documented.
 - e. All surveys shall be conducted by a qualified, State of Nevada registered professional engineer with experience in traffic engineering.
3. Percent New Trips Studies. Alternative trip data relevant to the Percent New Trips shall be based upon trip purpose studies conducted at land uses the same as are comparable to the proposed land development activity. As with other studies, the following conditions shall be met:
- a. A minimum of three sites should be surveyed for three (3) consecutive weekdays.
 - b. The site inventory, sites proposed for the surveys, and the detailed survey methodology must be reviewed and approved by the RTC RRIF Administrator prior to the initiation of the survey.
 - c. For all uses, the questions and interpretation of survey results, by land use, shall be as determined by the RTC RRIF Administrator. Trip data will be coded and summarized. All calculations and assumptions, including documentation of the sample size confidence level, shall be clearly recorded and documented.
4. Internal Orientation Studies. Certain mixed use developments may capture a portion of their total trip generation on-site. Those trips would be internal to the site and would not impact the external road network. The degree of internal orientation that can be expected is dependent on the type, character, quantity, and location of uses in the particular mixed-use development.

Trip ends in a mixed-use development are comprised of “attractions” and “productions”. The land uses contained in the fee schedule can be classified into those two groups as follows:

<u>Attractions</u>	<u>Productions</u>
- Office/Commercial	- Residential
- Industrial	- Hotel/Motel
- Institutional	
- Recreational	

For mixed use developments not opting to conduct a more refined analysis, a 10% deduction in trip generation will be given for the smaller trip type (i.e., attractions or productions) with that volume also deducted from the predominant trip type were attractions, a 10% deduction would be applied to the attraction total. That volume (10% of the attraction trips) would also be deducted from the production trips. If a Feepayer desires to obtain credit for more than 10% internal orientation for a specific mixed use development, detailed trip studies shall be conducted. Those studies must include, but not be limited to, the following:

- a. Detailed site plan identifying development land uses, internal vehicular circulation systems, and internal pedestrian circulation systems.
- b. Trip generation by land use, and by attractions and productions.
- c. Trip matrix identifying by trip purpose and on-site origins and destinations, inbound and outbound internal trip ends.
- d. Trip table identifying total trip generation, external trip ends, and internal trip ends by land use.

VII. STUDIES TO ESTABLISH NEW/REDEFINED LAND USE CATEGORIES

A. Studies by the RTC RRIF Administrator

From time to time, the RTC RRIF Administrator, in conjunction with the Local RRIF Administrators, may undertake studies necessary for the creation of a land use category not included in the fee schedule or to redefine an existing land use category. The results of such studies may be proposed for inclusion in the periodic updates to this Manual.

B. Studies by Others

Third parties interested in proposing the addition of new land uses or the redefinition of existing land uses and the trip generation data associated therewith, shall undertake the necessary independent studies as identified by the RTC RRIF Administrator at their sole expense. Completion and acceptance of such studies by the RTC RRIF Administrator shall in no way

be construed as binding the Participating Local Governments to accept the results of such studies or to amend this Manual to incorporate them into the land use fee structure.

1. Notice of Intent

Prior to initiating an independent land use study, the applicant shall inform the RTC RRIF Administrator of its intent in writing. Upon receiving this notice, the RTC RRIF Administrator shall schedule a pre-application meeting with the applicant.

2. Pre-application meeting

Prior to commencing with an independent land use study, the applicant shall meet with the RTC RRIF Administrator to discuss the scope, methodology, procedures, and standards to be met of the required study.

Results, conclusions, and agreements reached at the pre-application meeting regarding the scope of the study, methodology, required forms or documentation, or procedures, which may not constitute a waiver of the provisions of this Manual, shall be placed in writing by the RTC RRIF Administrator in a memorandum, and a copy of the memorandum shall be sent to the applicant.

If the applicant wishes to proceed with the study, it shall acknowledge receipt and acceptance of the RTC RRIF Administrator's memorandum in writing and return this acknowledgment to the RTC RRIF Administrator, with an application fee of \$1,500 for administrative costs associated with the review and decision on such a study. By accepting the memorandum, the applicant is obligated to turn over the completed study and assign all rights to the study to the RTC RRIF Administrator upon its completion.

3. RTC RRIF Administrator

Upon completion and acceptance of an independent land use study, the RTC RRIF Administrator shall include the relevant results of the study in the next proposed general update to this Manual for consideration by the Participating Local Governments.

VIII. REFUNDS

A. Expiration, Revocation, Surrender of Permit

Under the conditions defined in Section III.F, Expiration of Building Permit, a Feepayer shall be entitled to a refund, without interest, equal to the full

amount of the RRIF Fee paid. In the case of an expired building permit that was obtained in whole or in part by the use of RRIF Waivers or CCFEA Credits issued pursuant to an Offset Agreement or CCFEA, the entire RRIF Fee may be refunded only if a written request is made to the RTC RRIF Administrator within thirty (30) days of the expiration, revocation, or surrender of the building permit. The refund will be in the same proportion (cash vs. RRIF Waiver vs CCFEA Credit) as the original payment. For refunds related to a RRIF Waiver, the RTC RRIF Administrator will notify the affected Participating Local Government and adjust the remaining RRIF Waiver balance associated with the Development of Record.

B. Overpayment

A refund, with interest accrued on the cash amount overpaid, will be made if it is determined, as a result of appeal or independent fee calculation study, that overpayment of the RRIF Fee has occurred.

C. RRIF Revenues Not Expended

Upon the application of owner of record, the RTC RRIF Administrator shall refund the applicable fee paid plus interest accrued if the fee, or any portion thereof, has not been spent for the purpose for which it was collected within ten (10) years after the date on which it was collected.

1. RRIF Fee revenues shall be deemed expended or encumbered when a contract or agreement obligating all or a portion of the payment of said funds shall be approved by the RTC RRIF Administrator.
2. No refunds of RRIF Fees pursuant to the above criteria will be provided for in the event the owner of record does not request such a refund.
3. Before issuance of the refund can be authorized, the owner of record shall submit a written request for refund to the RTC RRIF Administrator. This request must be submitted within one hundred eighty (180) days of the date funds are considered refundable.
4. In applying for the refund, it shall be the applicant's responsibility to pursue the acquisition of, and/or furnish, as required by the RTC RRIF Administrator, all materials and information necessary to validate proof of payment of the RRIF Fee, the date and amount paid, and the permit issued as a result of that payment. The RTC RRIF Administrator shall verify whether the RRIF Fee is refundable, and if so, process the applicant's request.
5. The refunded RRIF Fee, including accrued interest, shall be returned to the owner of record.

D. Recalculation of Fees

1. At the time the review of the RRIF Program is initiated, the RTC RRIF Administrator shall recalculate the RRIF Fees according to the provisions of this Section.
2. The net cost per service unit shall be recalculated using the identical methodology as was used to calculate the net cost per service unit in the last update of the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan, but substituting actual costs of completed projects for the estimated costs included in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan.
3. The RTC RRIF Administrator shall automatically refund the RRIF Fees paid plus interest accrued to all Feepayers if:
 - a. The actual cost of the fully completed capital improvements plan is less than the RRIF Fees paid. In such cases, the difference is refunded; or
 - b. The recalculated net cost per service unit at the time of a capital improvements plan update is less by more than 10% of that estimated in calculating the RRIF Fees. In such cases, the difference beyond 10% is refunded.

E. Termination

In the event that the imposition of the RRIF Fees is terminated in any or all of the Participating Local Governments, any RRIF Fees collected from development in that jurisdiction and not spent or encumbered at the time of such termination shall be considered refundable upon application of the owner of record.

1. Within thirty (30) days following the effective date of this termination, the Participating Local Government shall turn over all funds in the Participating Local Government's Trust Fund and all pertinent records to the RTC RRIF Administrator.
2. The RTC RRIF Administrator shall notify Feepayers that they may be eligible for a refund upon application of the Feepayer or their successor in interest. The refund application must be submitted by the Feepayer or their successor in interest within one hundred eighty (180) days following the publication of the first notice. Holders of CCFEA Credits issued pursuant to a CCFEA or RRIF Waivers pursuant to an Offset Agreement shall be considered Feepayers for purposes of refund under this termination procedure.
3. Fees available for refund shall be those not expended or encumbered as described in Section VIII.C.

4. No refunds of impact fees will be provided for in the event the owner of record does not request such a refund. Fees available for refund shall be prorated over those eligible Feepayers submitting proper application for refund. In no case shall the Feepayer receive a refund greater than the amount originally paid plus accrued interest.
5. Any RRIF Fees available for refund and not so refunded shall remain in the RTC's Trust Fund. In the event that all Participating Local Governments terminate the imposition of the RRIF Fee, the remaining funds shall be expended on RRIF Capital Improvements identified in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan.

IX. EXEMPTIONS

A. Must Be Claimed by Feepayer

An exemption must be claimed by the Feepayer at the time of the application of a building permit. An exemption not so claimed shall be deemed waived by the Feepayer.

B. Total Exemptions

The following shall be exempted from payment of RRIF Fees:

1. Alterations. Alteration or expansion of an existing building or use of land where no additional living units will be produced over and above those in the existing use of the property, the use is not changed, and where no additional vehicular trips will be produced over and above those produced by the existing use.
2. RV Site Amendment. An amendment to a recreational vehicle building permit, provided that the amended recreational vehicle building permit does not increase the number of recreational vehicle units permitted.
3. Federal Buildings. A building permit obtained by or for the United States of America. Privately owned properties or facilities leased for general government operations and activities and private residential, commercial or industrial activities constructed or operated through lease agreements on public lands, or in public facilities, shall not be considered governmental or public facilities and shall be subject to the provisions of this Manual.
4. State Buildings. In accordance with an opinion issued by the State Attorney General (Opinion No. 97-29), the State of Nevada is exempt from the payment of impact fees and transportation taxes to local governments.
5. Property owned by a public school district or State University. In accordance with amendments made to NRS 278B and the opinion

of the State Attorney General (Opinion No. 97-29), public school districts and the State University System are exempt from paying impact fees. However, private schools and private universities or private uses housed within public school district and public university facilities are not exempt from impact fees.

6. Internal Uses. Under the conditions set forth in Section IV.M, land uses devoted entirely or partially to exclusive private use, which are internal to a particular development and therefore have no off-site street impact.
7. Previous Approval Exemptions.
 - a. Development approvals that meet either of the following criteria shall be exempt from payment of RRIF Fees:
 - (1) Projects with discretionary approvals from the Elected Board: 1) In the City of Reno prior to May 28, 1991, and the applications for which approvals required the preparation of a traffic report consistent with the City of Reno guidelines and subsequent off-site traffic related improvements other than proportionate share contributions: or 2) outside of Reno but within the Service Areas prior to the adoption of the Regional Road Impact Fee, and the applications for which approvals required off-site traffic related improvements other than proportionate share contributions.
 - (2) Those project approvals that meet the above criteria and for which additional traffic studies are required in order to monitor the cumulative traffic impacts of phased development. Such projects shall be required to do the traffic mitigation required as conditions of approval. Projects that meet the first criteria with the exception that no off-site, traffic-related improvements are required other than proportionate share cash contributions shall remain exempt until September 1, 1997. As of September 2, 1997, these projects shall be subject to payment of Fees and all proportionate share cash contributions shall become null and void.
 - (3) Exhibit D contains the RRIF Exemption List.
 - b. Such projects shall be required to do the traffic mitigation required as conditions of approval.
 - c. In the event that a time extension is granted, the exemption from the imposition of RRIF Fees will be retained. If any development approval exempted under this Section is allowed

to expire, such exemption shall be null and void. Any subsequent approval and development of the project in any form shall be deemed not to have been approved prior to May 28, 1991, and are subject to the imposition of RRIF Fees.

- d. In the event an amendment to a development approval exempted under this Section changes the traffic generation characteristics from those of the original approval, the incremental increase in traffic generation resulting from such amendment shall be subject to the imposition of impact fees
- e. In applying for the above-mentioned exemptions, it shall be the applicant's responsibility to furnish, as required by the RTC RRIF Administrator, all materials and information necessary to validate the exemption including, but not limited to, the following:
 - (1) Current Opinion of Title;
 - (2) Official document from affected governmental owner stating the proposed land use;
 - (3) Official documents from zoning hearings;
 - (4) Old and new construction plans;
 - (5) Official Certificate of Occupancy and Use records; and
 - (6) Statements from owner stating past and proposed land use.
- f. Projects exempt from the payment of RRIF Fees pursuant to this Section, may nevertheless be required to perform additional traffic mitigation measures if such project is developed in phases, regardless of whether project approval was granted for a total or phased development, and regardless of whether traffic mitigation measures required at the time of project approval have been completed by the applicant. A "phased development" means:
 - (1) A project which was approved as a phased development.
 - (2) A project which received approval for a total project, which has not been totally developed pursuant to such approval at the end of a five year period starting with such approval.

C. Exemption Based on Error

Exemptions from payment of RRIF Fees based on error, the Feepayer shall pay the RRIF Fees that would have otherwise been due.

D. Determination

The determination of eligibility for an exemption shall be made by the RTC RRIF Administrator.

X. RRIF WAIVERS REQUESTED AFTER THE 5th EDITION RRIF GAM/CIP (3/2/2015) UPDATE

A. General

1. RRIF Waivers.

a. RRIF Waivers are credits against RRIF Fees for Offset-Eligible Costs in an amount equal to or less than the RRIF Fees owed for the land uses within a Development of Record.

b. RRIF Waivers are approved in a Notice of RRIF Waiver issued pursuant to an Offset Agreement. When a Notice of RRIF Waiver is issued, RRIF Fees assessed by the Participating Local Government will be “waived” until the RRIF Fees waived within the Development of Record cumulatively equal the amount of Offset-Eligible Costs approved in the Notice of RRIF Waiver.

c. In the event the land uses within the Development of Record are modified greater than 10% of the land uses as identified in the Offset Agreement, RRIF Waivers will be re-evaluated at the then-current RRIF Fee. The determination of a RRIF Waiver modification will be based on a comparison of the RRIF Fees owed for the modified land uses, including any completed portions of the development, and the RRIF Fees owed as identified in the Offset Agreement. The Local RRIF Administrator will notify the RTC RRIF Administrator of the modification for the Development of Record. The RTC RRIF Administrator will issue a new Notice of RRIF Waiver with the remaining value of RRIF Waivers expressed in dollars. All remaining RRIF Waivers shall be utilized at the then-current RRIF Fee as of the date of issuance of the building permit for each unit of development.

2. The RTC RRIF Administrator and legal counsel are the sole officials authorized to communicate, on behalf of the RTC, with a person submitting an application for RRIF Waivers. Representations and communications by other officials, unless expressly authorized by the RTC RRIF Administrator, may not be relied upon for purposes of RRIF Fee obligations, Offered Improvements, or the terms of a proposed

Offset Agreement. The Offset Agreement shall supersede all prior written and oral communications, regardless of source.

3. Any offer to dedicate or construct Offset-Eligible Improvements, pursuant to this Section and the Offset Agreement, may be withdrawn at any time prior to the transfer of legal title.

B. Offset Agreement

1. With respect to improvements commenced on or before November 1, 2018, Offset Agreements must be approved prior to the start of work on any Offset-Eligible Improvement and prior to the issuance of any building permit for which RRIF Waivers are requested. With respect to improvements commenced after November 1, 2018, Offset Agreements must be approved prior to the earliest to occur of: (i) twelve (12) months from commencement of construction of the Offset-Eligible Improvement, (ii) completion of work on any Offset-Eligible Improvement, and (iii) utilization of RRIF Waivers earned as a result of construction of any Offset-Eligible Improvement.
2. When the Offered Improvements are completed, the RTC RRIF Administrator will prepare a Notice of RRIF Waiver.
3. The Local RRIF Administrator will inspect and accept the Offset-Eligible Improvements.
4. The RTC RRIF Administrator will issue the Notice of RRIF Waiver, pursuant to the Offset Agreement, to approve the RRIF Waivers.
5. An interim Notice of RRIF Waiver may be issued during phases of construction or dedication of land that provide reasonable assurance that over-crediting shall not occur, if authorized in the Offset Agreement.
6. To the extent that Offered Improvements are ultimately not accepted, or if the Developer of Record is otherwise in material default under the Offset Agreement, the Developer of Record shall pay the actual RRIF Fees which would have otherwise been due.

C. Procedure for Offset Agreements

1. The Developer of Record shall submit an application for RRIF Waivers to the RTC RRIF Administrator on a form provided by the RTC for such purposes.
2. Upon receipt of a complete application for RRIF Waivers, the RTC RRIF Administrator will distribute the application materials to legal counsel, other appropriate RTC staff, and the Local RRIF Administrator for each Participating Local Government in which the Offered Improvements are located (the “affected Participating Local Government”).

3. The RTC RRIF Administrator will coordinate with the Local RRIF Administrator for each affected Participating Local Government to ensure all comments are received and given consideration prior to RTC Board approval of the Offset Agreement.
4. After review by legal counsel, other appropriate RTC staff, and the RRIF Administrator of each affected Participating Local Government, the RTC RRIF Administrator will prepare a staff report and Offset Agreement for consideration by the RTC Board and the governing bodies of the affected Participating Local Governments.
 - a. The RTC RRIF Administrator's staff report and Offset Agreement will establish which Offered Improvements qualify as Offset-Eligible Costs and the appropriate dollar amount and approved land use designations of any resulting RRIF Waivers, according to the provisions of this Manual.
 - b. The amount of RRIF Waivers for a Development of Record may not exceed the actual Offset-Eligible Costs, as described in Section X.
 - c. RRIF Waivers shall be expressed in dollars and by the amount of RRIF Fees to be waived in terms of land uses using the fee schedule in effect as of the date of approval of the Offset Agreement.
 - d. If the RTC RRIF Administrator determines that cost estimates submitted by the Developer of Record are either unreliable or inaccurate, the final determination of the amount of RRIF Waivers shall be made by the RTC RRIF Administrator based upon reasonable engineering criteria, construction costs estimates, property appraisals, or other professionally-accepted means of determining the value of the Offered Improvements.
5. Based on the staff report of the RTC RRIF Administrator, the provisions of this Manual, the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan, available funds for RTC projects, and other relevant factors, the RTC Board and the governing bodies of the affected Participating Local Governments will make a final decision whether to accept, reject, or to propose amendments to the Offset Agreement.
6. Once a final decision has been made by the RTC Board and the governing bodies of the affected Participating Local Governments, the RTC RRIF Administrator will send by registered mail a copy or copies of the approved Offset Agreement for the final consent and signature of the Developer of Record. The Offset Agreement will be deemed to have been received by the Developer of Record three (3) days after mailing by the RTC RRIF Administrator.
7. The Developer of Record must sign, date, and return the approved Offset Agreement indicating their consent to the terms therein within

thirty (30) days of receiving the approved Offset Agreement from the RTC RRIF Administrator. If the RTC RRIF Administrator does not receive the signed Offset Agreement within thirty (30) days, the application for RRIF Waivers will be deemed withdrawn.

8. Unless an executed Offset Agreement expressly provides otherwise, i.e. if interim RRIF Waivers are authorized in the Offset Agreement, RRIF Waivers will not be approved in a Notice of RRIF Waivers until all Offset-Eligible Improvements have been completed and, if applicable, dedicated to the RTC or the affected Participating Local Governments as provided in the Offset Agreement.
9. Land dedications accepted as an Offset-Eligible Improvement must be accompanied by the following documentation prior to issuance of a Notice of RRIF Waiver, as provided below:
 - a. The delivery to the appropriate governmental body of an irrevocable offer of dedication, with sufficient funds to pay all costs of transfer of title including recording.
 - b. The escrow of taxes for the current year or the payment of said taxes for the year.
 - c. The issuance of a title insurance policy subsequent to recording of the deed and escrow of taxes.
10. Unless expressly provided otherwise in an Offset Agreement, it is the responsibility of the Developer of Record to submit sufficient documentation to the RTC RRIF Administrator to establish that the terms of the Offset Agreement have been met.
11. Once the RTC RRIF Administrator has made a determination that the terms of the Offset Agreement have been met, the RTC RRIF Administrator will issue a Notice of RRIF Waiver to the Developer of Record.

D. Contents of Applications for RRIF Waivers

1. The application for RRIF Waivers must contain the information and documentation required by this Section and sufficiently identify and describe the Offered Improvements which otherwise would have been built by the RTC with collected RRIF Fees.
2. Each application for RRIF Waivers must contain the following:
 - a. The name of the Developer of Record offering to make Offset-Eligible Improvements and requesting RRIF Waivers.
 - b. The contribution, payment, construction, or land dedication which will constitute the Offered Improvements and the legal description or

other adequate description of the project or development, referred to and the Development of Record, to which the Offered Improvements are related.

- c. The name, address, phone number, fax number, email address and a contact person of the Developer of Record.
- d. The name, Local Government File Number, and three copies of the site plan of the Development of Record.
- e. List of approved land uses and the estimated RRIF Fees for those uses within the Development of Record.
- f. Name, address, phone number, fax number, email address and contact person of the Engineer of Record.
- g. The proposed plans and specifications for the specific construction prepared and certified by a duly qualified engineer, registered and licensed in the State of Nevada.
- h. When a Developer of Record offers to dedicate right-of-way, they shall present:
 - (1) Preliminary Title Report.
 - (2) Copy of Dedication Map containing proposed dedication.
 - (3) Documentation sufficient to establish the applicant's opinion of value of property to be offered for dedication, as provided in Section X.
- i. Sufficient documentation to verify the costs of the Offered Improvements, in accordance with Section X.

E. Contents of Offset Agreements

- 1. No dedication or construction project may be accepted in exchange for RRIF Waivers except pursuant to an Offset Agreement, which must include the following:
 - a. The projected costs for the Offered Improvements, based on the valuation provisions of Section X, including provisions for verifying costs and facilitating changes in costs or plans.
 - b. The time by which the construction of the Offered Improvements shall be paid, completed, or dedicated and any provisions for extensions thereof.
 - c. The proposed amount in dollars and land uses of RRIF Waivers, based on the estimated costs of the Offered Improvements.

- d. The terms and conditions that must be met before the RTC RRIF Administrator will issue a Notice of RRIF Waiver, in accordance with the provisions of this Manual.
 - e. RRIF Waivers shall be limited to use for the payment of RRIF Fees associated with the Development of Record listed in the Offset Agreement. RRIF Waivers shall not expire.
 - f. RRIF Waivers shall be assigned to offset the RRIF Fees within the Development of Record pursuant to the Offset Agreement.
 - g. If the designated land uses for the Development of Record identified in the Offset Agreement change, the remaining waivers shall be re-assessed as outlined in the provisions in Section X.A.
 - h. A provision requiring that all Offset-Eligible Improvements accepted will be in accordance with RTC requirements and standards.
 - i. Any labor, work safety, prevailing wage, or other applicable laws or regulations with which the Developer of Record must comply.
 - j. Such other terms and conditions agreed to by the parties.
2. Any changes to an Offset Agreement approved by the RTC Board, other than those addressed in Section X.F, will require an amendment to the Offset Agreement using the same procedures as its original approval.
- F. Calculation of RRIF Waivers.
- 1. Eligibility.
 - a. RRIF Waivers may be approved only for Offset-Eligible Costs, which are limited to the costs the RTC otherwise would have incurred for RRIF Capital Improvements.
 - b. RRIF Waivers may be provided only pursuant to a valid Offset Agreement, executed according to the provisions of this Manual.
 - c. Offset-Eligible Costs are available for RRIF Waivers only if associated with Offset-Eligible Improvements that meet design standards approved by the RTC and the affected Participating Local Government, and only to the extent such costs do not exceed the scope of the project as planned by the RTC and reflected in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan or as described in the applicable Offset Agreement.
 - 2. Valuation.
 - a. RRIF Waivers approved by the RTC pursuant to a Notice of RRIF Waivers will be based on, and may not exceed, the actual verified costs of the dedication or construction of the Offset-Eligible

Improvements accepted by the affected Participating Local Government.

- b. The RTC will not approve RRIF Waivers in excess of the RRIF Fees owed for a Development of Record as of the date of the applicable Offset Agreement.
- c. The amount of RRIF Waivers shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) Construction of Facilities and Provision of Equipment. The RRIF Waivers may not exceed the actual cost of construction or equipment, as evidenced by receipts and other sufficient documentation provided by the developer of the public facility and verified by the RTC RRIF Administrator. Actual costs shall be based on local information for similar improvements; may include the cost of construction, planning feasibility, alignment studies, plan-line studies, preliminary engineering, relevant geotechnical, environmental and cultural resource studies, permitting, the cost of all lands, property, rights, easements, and franchises acquired, construction financing charges, plans and specifications, surveys, engineering and legal services, construction inspection and testing, and all other expenses necessary or incident to determining the feasibility or practicability of such construction.
 - (2) Dedication of Land.
 - (a) If the land in question is subject to a valid agreement, zoning approval or development approval, which established a valuation or prescribes a method of valuation, the agreement, zoning approval or development approval shall control.
 - (b) If the dedication is made pursuant to a condition of discretionary zoning or development approval, the value of the land shall be determined as of the date immediately preceding the discretionary development approval. The value shall be based upon the condition of the property and the regulatory zoning in place immediately prior to the discretionary approval.
 - (c) Valuation shall be based on the fair market value of the land upon execution of the Offset Agreement by the Developer of Record or final approval of the proposed Offset Agreement by the RTC Board or the governing bodies of the affected Participating Local Government, whichever is earlier.
- d. All changes in the estimate of Offset-Eligible Costs or to the approved plans and specifications (prior to or after execution of an Offset Agreement), shall require approval of the RTC RRIF Administrator. The applicant shall provide the RTC RRIF Administrator copies of all contracts or agreements made for design

services, construction, or engineering during construction within fifteen (15) days after their execution.

G. Usage of RRIF Waivers.

1. Participating Local Governments shall waive RRIF Fees otherwise owed either at the time of issuance of a building permit or issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy, as the case may be, if the RTC RRIF Administrator has issued a Notice of RRIF Waiver for the Development of Record.
2. RRIF Waivers may be used to pay up to 100% of the RRIF Fees due as the result of development within the Development of Record.
3. RRIF Waivers may not be used to pay for RRIF Fees due as a result of development outside of the Development of Record.
4. RRIF Waivers are transferable to a third party. To transfer RRIF Waivers, the current holder of RRIF Waivers will notify RTC through the RRIF Automation Program of the amount of RRIF Waivers to be transferred and the name and contact information of the third party. RRIF Waivers will be subtracted from the current holder's account and transferred to a new account in the name of the third party.
5. Upon transfer, RRIF Waivers may be used by the transferee to pay up to 100% of the RRIF Fees due as the result of development within the Development of Record.

XI. UNEXPIRED CREDITS APPROVED PRIOR TO THE 5th EDITION RRIF GAM/CIP (3/2/2015) UPDATE

- A. Applicability. This Section applies to CCFEAs and to CCFEA Credits issued pursuant to such CCFEAs.
- B. Intent. It is the intent of the RTC Board, and affected Participating Local Governments, to carry forward the policies and understanding in place when CCFEAs were entered into, prior to the changes to the adoption of the 5th Edition RRIF GAM/CIP. Therefore, to the extent possible and practical, outstanding CCFEA Credits may be used or transferred as provided prior to adoption of the 5th Edition RRIF GAM/CIP, as provided in this Section and in accordance with the terms of valid, unexpired CCFEAs.
- C. CCFEA Credit Usage.
 1. The transferability and usage of CCFEA Credits issued pursuant to a valid, unexpired CCFEA are as follows:
 - a. CCFEA Credits may be used by the Developer of Record to pay for up to 100% of the Regional Road Impact Fees on any

traffic generating development of land included in the Development of Record.

- b. CCFEA Credits may be used by the Developer of Record to pay for up to 100% of the Regional Road Impact Fees on any traffic generating development of the Developer of Record within the same CCFEA Credit Benefit District as the Development of Record.
 - c. CCFEA Credits are transferable to a third party. To transfer credits, the current credit holder of CCFEA Credits will notify RTC through the RRIF Automation Program the amount of VMT's to be transferred and the name and contact information of the third party. CCFEA Credits will be subtracted from the current CCFEA Credit holder's account and transferred to a new account in the name of the third party.
 - d. CCFEA Credits may be used by a third party to pay for up to 100% of the Regional Road Impact Fees on any traffic generating development of land included in the Development of Record.
 - e. CCFEA Credits may be used by a third party to pay for up to one half of the amount of the RRIF Fees due on any traffic generating development of land within the same CCFEA Credit Benefit District as the Development of Record. The remaining portion of RRIF Fees due must be paid in cash.
2. CCFEA Credits may not be used outside of the CCFEA Credit Benefit District in which the Development of Record lies.

D. Expiration of Credit

Unused CCFEA Credits shall expire twenty years from the date of the CCFEA.

XII. APPEALS

A. Notice of Appeal

- 1. A Feepayer or applicant affected by a final administrative decision of the Local RRIF Administrator or the RTC RRIF Administrator may appeal the decision.
- 2. The RTC RRIF Administrator will consider an appeal of a decision of a Local RRIF Administrator. The Appeal Committee will consider an appeal of a decision of the RTC RRIF Administrator.

3. In order to appeal, the Feepayer or applicant shall file a written notice of appeal with the RTC RRIF Administrator within ten (10) business days of the decision. The notice must:
 - a. State with specificity the nature and grounds of the appeal;
 - b. Identify the law and facts that are inconsistent with the decision (including the specific provisions of this Manual, the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan, the RRIF Ordinance, and any other laws or regulations);
 - c. Describe the decision the applicant believes should have been made, the corrective action that should be taken, and the rationale for that position based on a detailed description of the law and facts (including the specific provisions of this Manual, the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan, the RRIF Ordinance, and any other laws or regulations); and
 - d. Provide draft written findings that would support a conclusion that the decision being appealed was erroneous and that the proposed corrective action is necessary.
4. The burden is on the Feepayer or applicant to prove that the decision being appealed was erroneous and that the proposed corrective action is necessary
5. A decision of the RTC RRIF Administrator that constitutes a question of law may not be appealed. The RTC RRIF Administrator, in consultation with legal counsel, shall determine whether or not a decision constitutes a question of law.

B. Appeals to the RTC RRIF Administrator

1. The RTC RRIF Administrator shall consider an appeal of a final administrative decision of a Local RRIF Administrator.
2. The RTC RRIF Administrator shall have the power to affirm or reverse the decision being appealed. In making its decision, the RTC RRIF Administrator shall make written findings and apply the standards of this Manual. In order to reverse the decision, the RTC RRIF Administrator must conclude that the decision being appealed was erroneous and that the proposed corrective action is necessary. If the decision is reversed, the RTC RRIF Administrator shall specify the appropriate corrective action that should be taken pursuant to this Manual. In no event shall the RTC RRIF Administrator have the authority to negotiate the terms of the corrective action.
3. A Feepayer or applicant may appeal the decision of the RTC RRIF Administrator by filing a notice of intent to appeal with the RTC RRIF Administrator within ten (10) business days of the decision.

C. Appeals to the Appeal Committee

1. If a decision of the RTC RRIF Administrator is appealed, the RTC RRIF Administrator shall schedule a hearing before the Appeal Committee. The RTC RRIF Administrator shall attempt to schedule a hearing to be held within sixty (60) days of receipt of either the notice of appeal or the notice of intent to appeal, as applicable.
2. The RTC RRIF Administrator will provide the appellant's notice of appeal, and the decision of the RTC RRIF Administrator, to the Appeal Committee.
3. The appellant and the RTC RRIF Administrator may file supplemental materials supporting their positions at least fourteen (14) days before the hearing.
4. The appellant and the RTC RRIF Administrator shall have an opportunity to present their positions verbally at the hearing.
5. The Appeal Committee shall have the power to affirm or reverse the decision being appealed. In making its decision, the Appeal Committee shall make written findings and apply the standards of this Manual. In order to reverse the decision, the Appeal Committee must conclude that the decision being appealed was erroneous and that the proposed corrective action is necessary. If the decision is reversed, the Appeal Committee shall specify the appropriate corrective action that should be taken pursuant to this Manual. In no event shall the Appeal Committee have the authority to negotiate the terms of the corrective action.
6. The RTC RRIF Administrator shall have the right, on the advice of legal counsel, to reject the findings of the Appeal Committee and refuse to carry out the corrective action.

XIII. USE OF FUNDS

A. Deposit in Trust Funds

1. All RRIF Fees collected by each Local RRIF Administrator, pursuant to this Manual, shall be immediately deposited in the Participating Local Government's Trust Fund.
2. Any proceeds in the Participating Local Government's Trust Fund not immediately necessary for expenditure shall be invested in an interest-bearing account. All income derived from these investments shall be retained in the Participating Local Government's Trust Fund until transferred to the RTC's Trust Fund. Record of the Participating Local Government's Trust Fund accounts shall be available for public inspection in the Local RRIF Administrator's office, during normal business hours.

3. No less frequently than quarterly, and pursuant to the RRIF Interlocal Cooperative Agreement, the Local RRIF Administrator shall transfer the funds in the Participating Local Government's Trust Fund to the RTC's Trust Fund. All proceeds in the RTC's Trust Fund not immediately necessary for expenditure shall be invested in an interest-bearing account.

B. Limitations on Expenditures

1. Funds shall only be expended from the RTC's Trust Fund.
2. Except as provided in Section C below, funds shall only be expended on RRIF Capital Improvements in the Service Area in which such RRIF Fees were collected.
3. The expenditure of funds shall be limited to those RRIF Capital Improvements included in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan.
4. For the purposes of determining whether funds have been spent or encumbered, the first RRIF Fees collected shall be considered the first funds spent or encumbered.
5. If funds transferred to the RTC's Trust Fund are required to be refunded pursuant to Section VIII, Refunds, the RTC RRIF Administrator shall return such funds to the Local RRIF Administrator for refund.

C. Service Areas

RRIF Fees shall be spent in the Service Area in which such RRIF Fees were collected, except that:

1. Where a RRIF Capital Improvement included in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan is used to define Service Area boundaries, the road demarcating the boundary shall be considered as part of both Service Areas that it bounds, and RRIF Fees from both Service Areas may be used to fund RRIF Capital Improvements for that road, including regionally significant freeway ramps that provide access to a boundary road; or
2. RRIF Fees may be used to fund a RRIF Capital Improvement outside the Service Area in which the RRIF Fees are collected if it is demonstrated, by competent substantial evidence, that the Feepayer will receive sufficient benefit from the RRIF Capital Improvement to comply with the requirements of NRS 278B.

XIV. AMENDMENTS TO THE RRIF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN

- A. Biennial Consideration of a Special Request for a New RRIF Capital Improvement Project

The RTC Board and the governing bodies of the Participating Local Governments shall consider any person's proposed amendments to the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan no more often than biennially (once every two years).

- B. Procedure

1. Any person, who proposes an amendment to the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan that requires a modification of RRIF Capital Improvements, shall submit an application requesting such an amendment to the RTC RRIF Administrator by the end of the first quarter of the update year. The application shall include the appropriate data and supporting analysis to demonstrate justification for amending the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan, and either adding and/or deleting RRIF Capital Improvements; to the extent necessary, justification for modifications to land use assumptions and trip characteristics and a traffic report (using the guidelines in Exhibit E – Traffic Report Guidelines) shall be included in the application by the applicant.
2. Within ten (10) business days after the end of the first quarter of the update year, the RTC RRIF Administrator shall compile and synthesize all applications submitted by private persons for proposed amendments to the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan, and mail copies of these applications to the Local RRIF Administrators. Prior to the end of the second quarter of the update year, the RTC RRIF Administrator shall prepare a staff report recommending approval, approval with modifications, or disapproval of each proposed application; in preparing the staff report, the RTC RRIF Administrator shall consider and to the extent appropriate incorporate any comments from the Local RRIF Administrators.
3. During the third quarter of the update year, the RTC Board shall review and consider the applications for proposed amendments to the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan. By the end of the update year, the RTC Board shall submit its recommendations to each of the governing bodies of the Participating Local Governments who shall make a decision on whether to approve, approve with modifications, or disapprove the proposed applications. For an application to receive final approval, it shall require approval by each of the governing bodies of the Participating Local Governments.
4. Based upon the decision of the RTC Board and the governing bodies of the Participating Local Governments, the RTC RRIF Administrator

shall prepare the appropriate modifications to the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan and this Manual, which must then be approved in a consolidated form by the governing bodies of each Participating Local Government before they become final.

C. Standard Request for a New Capital Improvement

Any application for an amendment to the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan shall only be approved if the application demonstrates that the land use assumptions or the travel characteristics used in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan have changed to such a degree, that the modification is necessary to maintain adequate levels of service on the RRIF Network.

D. Special Request for a New Capital Improvement

1. Any person may propose an amendment to include a new capital improvement in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan during periods other than specified in Section XIV.B.1. The RTC RRIF Administrator will consider applications to amend the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan if the following criteria are met a) a non-refundable fee of \$5,000 is provided to fund the cost of processing the amendment; RRIF Waivers will not be given for this fee, if approved, b) the amendment is consistent with a Master Plan that has been approved by the appropriate governing body and Truckee Meadows Regional Planning Agency as necessary, and c) the new capital improvements are for arterial or collector streets and roads that meet the criteria to be included in the RRIF Network as specified in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan.
2. The RTC RRIF Administrator, with concurrence from the Local RRIF Administrators, will process applications meeting the criteria specified in Section XIV.D.1. The RTC RRIF Administrator shall prepare the appropriate modifications to the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan and this Manual, which must then be approved in a consolidated form by the governing bodies of each Participating Local Government before they become final and before the project would be eligible for RRIF Waivers.

XV. BIENNIAL REVIEW

The RRIF Capital Improvements Plan and this Manual should be reviewed and evaluated by the RTC at least once every two (2) years, to determine if any modifications, additions, or updates need to be made to the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan and this Manual. This review shall be conducted by the RTC RRIF Administrator and submitted to the RTC Board and the governing bodies of each of the Participating Local Governments.

XVI. ADOPTION

This Manual has been adopted by resolution of the RTC Board and by each Participating Local Government. This Manual may be amended only by resolution of the RTC Board and by resolutions of the governing bodies of each Participating Local Government.

**EXHIBIT A
EXPANDED LIST OF LAND USES**

RESIDENTIAL

SINGLE-FAMILY

- one or two dwelling units on individual lot
- condominiums
- townhomes
- duplexes
- mobile home subdivision
- mobile home on individual lot

MULTI-FAMILY

Three or more dwelling units, including:

- apartments
- condominiums
- boarding house
- senior assisted living housing
- supplemental units/mother-in-law detached dwellings

INDUSTRIAL

GENERAL INDUSTRY (LIGHT)

- abattoir and packing plant
- auto repair
- auto painting
- auto body
- equipment rental
- industrial laundry
- heavy equipment repair/service
- material testing labs
- publishing or publishing and printing

MANUFACTURING

- dairy products
- chemical processing/manufacturing
- furniture manufacturer
- textile mill

WAREHOUSING

- storage warehouse
- distribution warehouse
- regional warehouse development

MINI-WAREHOUSE

- mini storage warehouse development

COMMERCIAL/RETAIL

GENERAL COMMERCIAL

Shopping centers and free-standing commercial buildings, including:

- adult entertainment
- bakery
- bar/cocktail lounge
- barber shop
- beauty salon
- car wash (operator)
- clothing store
- convenience store
- drug/variety store
- dry cleaning
- electronics sale and service
- ice cream parlor
- jeweler
- massage, tattoo, body painting, etc.
- office supplies
- pawn shop
- pet store
- pet grooming
- pool/billiard parlor
- record store
- quality restaurant
- savings and loan
- shopping center
- shoe repair
- tailor
- video arcade
- video rental

FAST FOOD RESTAURANT

- restaurant (fast food and/or drive through)

OFFICE & OTHER SERVICES

GENERAL OFFICE

General offices and office buildings including:

- accounting offices
- architect
- financial offices
- banks (with or without drive in services)
- insurance office
- medical/dental offices (<10,000 GFA)
- physical therapist offices
- psychologist offices
- law offices
- government offices
- public utility office
- real estate
- recording studio

MEDICAL OFFICE

- medical clinic
- medical laboratories
- medical/dentist/optometrist/chiropractic offices (>10,000 GFA)
- veterinary clinic
- urgent care

PRIVATE SCHOOLS

- elementary school
- middle school
- junior high school
- high school
- college
- university
- junior college
- community college

DAY CARE CENTER

- child care center
- day care center
- kindergarten

HOSPITAL

- hospital
- psychiatric hospital
- mental institution

NURSING HOME

- convalescent center
- nursing home

LODGING

- hotel
- motel
- casino hotel
- resort hotel
- RV park

REGIONAL RECREATIONAL FACILITY

PARK

- public park
- public swimming pool
- ball fields
- skating rink

EXHIBIT B REGIONAL ROAD IMPACT FEE SERVICE AREAS




EXHIBIT C CCFEA CREDIT BENEFIT DISTRICTS




EXHIBIT D
RRIF EXEMPTION LIST

- Caughlin Ranch
- Convention Properties – (Firecreek Crossing)
- South Meadows Phase 1 & 2

**EXHIBIT E
TRAFFIC REPORT GUIDELINES
REGIONAL ROAD IMPACT FEE**

**CITY OF RENO
CITY OF SPARKS
WASHOE COUNTY
REGIONAL TRANSPORTION COMMISION**

I. INTRODUCTION

The Regional Road Impact Fee (RRIF) Traffic Report Guidelines provide criteria about traffic report content and techniques necessary to evaluate impacts of new development. The guidelines represent recognition of the comprehensive analyses undertaken as part of development and periodic updating of the RRIF Capital Improvements Program.

The RRIF Capital Improvements Plan uses ten (10) year population and employment land-use assumptions based on approved projects and master plans. Roadway segment and freeway ramp improvements are identified that will be needed within the ten (10) year horizon. These facilities are also analyzed to determine right-of-way requirements for capacity improvements needed beyond ten (10) years. In some instances, a proposed, large development may have not been included in the land-use assumptions to determine future traffic volumes. Other developments may have phasing plans that exceed the time frame of the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan. For these and other cases outlined below, a traffic report may be needed to measure traffic impacts and determine potential improvements not identified in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan.

The RRIF Traffic Report Guidelines are divided into the following sections:

- II. Requirement for Traffic Report
- III. Traffic Report Time Lines
- IV. Traffic Report Format and Required Elements
- V. Recommended Mitigations

II. REQUIREMENT FOR TRAFFIC REPORT

A traffic report may be recommended for developments meeting any one of the following criteria:

- A. Projects generating 100 or more peak-hour trips: Capacity analysis of proposed driveways.
- B. Projects generating 200 or more peak-hour trips: Report required if RTC staff cannot validate project inclusion in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan land-use forecast.
- C. The project is among those previously approved by an elected board with conditions of approval requiring future traffic reports.

- D. Applications for Master Plan Amendment calculated generating 200 or more peak-hour trips or that proposes a change to roadways in the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) for Washoe County.
- E. Projects defined as “Projects of Regional Significance” in the Truckee Meadows Regional Plan.
- F. Projects that will be phased over a period of time exceeding ten years.
- G. Projects that may impact planned roadway projects, e.g., a proposal may require revised access or be located near an arterial intersection.
- H. Projects deemed to have impacts related to intersection capacity, safety, neighborhood or other concerns as identified by the cities of Reno and Sparks, Washoe County, or the State of Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT).
- I. The Regional Transportation Commission (RTC), NDOT or the local entity reserves the right to request additional information necessary to properly assess the impact of the proposed project.

Calculation of trip generation should use appropriate rates found in the latest edition of *TRIP GENERATION* by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) or other sources approved by RTC staff and the local jurisdiction.

III. TRAFFIC REPORT TIME LINES

- A. Project Submittal Deadlines: The appropriate local entity with jurisdiction over approval of development applications should be contacted to determine submittal deadlines.
- B. Prior to Submittal of Development Application: To facilitate prompt and efficient review and processing of development applications, RTC staff recommends the following to be completed before submittal of the development application:
 - 1. Project trip generation should be approved by the appropriate local entity.
 - 2. Applicants for projects generating 200 or more peak-hour trips should contact RTC to determine if the proposal is accommodated in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan land-use forecast. If a report is required, the following steps should then be completed before submittal of the development application:
 - (a) The applicant’s consultant should meet with RTC, the appropriate jurisdiction, and/or NDOT to define the scope of the report including variables identified in these guidelines, such as intersections and peak periods to be analyzed and trip distribution, as well as the potential for pass-by trips, the need for new intersection counts, or agency/neighborhood concerns.

(b) Potential site plan changes may be recommended to provide RIDE or Access service to the project.

3. Traffic reports that require future-year analysis should obtain background traffic volumes developed or approval by RTC staff.
4. The traffic report should be reviewed for completeness and accuracy and approved by appropriate staff at the local jurisdiction.
5. Recommendations on the following pages identify specific improvement that should be depicted on submitted site plans.

C. After Submittal of Development Application:

All traffic issues identified by reviewing agencies following submittal of an approved traffic report should be resolved before the writing of the staff report by the appropriate entity. This will ensure that all recommended conditions of approval associated with traffic concerns could be resolved before official action on the project.

IV. TRAFFIC REPORT FORMAT

All traffic reports shall be prepared by, or under the direction of, a professional engineer with adequate experience in transportation engineering. The report shall be stamped and signed.

A. Project Description: The traffic report should include a description of the following:

1. Existing and proposed land-uses on the site. The project should be described in terms of the amount of development, e.g., number of residential units, non-residential square feet of floor area.
2. Project build-out date and phasing if appropriate.
3. A vicinity map showing the location of the project and surrounding land-uses.
4. The site plan should be shown to scale with clear definition of internal circulation and proposed vehicle and pedestrian access to adjacent streets. Any driveways located across roadways and adjacent to the site should be depicted. Distances from the nearest full movement intersections to project driveways should also be shown. The design of project access must consider proper distance between intersections and project driveways as well as other elements described in appropriate local codes and Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) policies.
5. Any approved or required encroachment permits for roadways under the jurisdiction of NDOT.
6. Nonresidential and multi-family projects should include a description

of RIDE service, if any. Potential location(s) for bus stop(s) and related passenger amenities, e.g., passenger shelters, should be indicated on the proposed site plan.

7. Senior or assisted-care residential projects should include a description of transportation needs of residents, including site plan elements needed to provide Access Para transit service to the project. RTC staff can provide information related to provision of Access service.

B. Roadways Description: The following elements should be described for all facilities adjacent to the site or otherwise included in the traffic report.

1. The roadway descriptions should begin with a list of intersections to be analyzed as required by the appropriate jurisdiction.
2. Existing and proposed roadways should be identified in terms of their functional classification.
3. The number and type of lanes, e.g., through-lanes, left-turn lanes, bike lanes, existing and planned for each roadway segment and intersection to be analyzed in the report.
4. The existence or lack of pedestrian facilities on both sides of roadways adjacent to the site.
5. A vicinity map depicting location of roadways and intersections to be analyzed.

C. Trip Generation: To assess the magnitude of traffic impacts of the proposed, the following must be included in the report:

1. Trip generation rates and peak hours must be determined and approved by the local jurisdiction or RTC staff. Trip generation should be calculated based on approved trip rates found in the latest edition of the ITE *Trip Generation*. If not available in this publication, other trip generation sources or procedures should be proposed for approval.
2. For purposes of driveway analysis, there should be no reduction in calculated trip generation for pass-by or diverted trips. Reductions for intersection analysis should be approved by the local jurisdiction and RTC staff.
3. A table should summarize average daily traffic volumes and peak-hour volumes with subtotals for each land use.

D. Trip Distribution and Assignment: Distribution of project trips should be approved before application submittal by the local jurisdiction in consultation with RTC staff.

1. Trip distribution should be depicted for each peak-period to be analyzed on a vicinity map similar to that used to indicate the location of the project and intersections to be analyzed (see B.5 above).
 2. A map should illustrate assignment of project trips for each peak-period to driveways and intersections to be analyzed.
 3. Additional maps should illustrate background traffic plus project trips for each peak-period analyzed.
- E. Analysis: Analysis at required intersections and roadway segments should consist of the following applicable scenarios for the peak periods required:
1. Existing conditions without project traffic (*New counts of turning movements at key intersections may be required by the local jurisdiction. RTC recommends new counts if existing data is older than 12 months or if circumstances, such as completion of a new facility, make new counts important to the analysis.*)
 2. Background conditions at proposed date of project completion (if two or more years in the future).
 3. Project traffic plus background traffic at project buildout.
 4. 2025 and 2035 projections without project traffic.
 5. 2025 and 2035 projections plus project traffic.
 6. Demonstrated improvement with recommended mitigations (as required below).
 7. Construction impacts including effects on transit service.

Results of the analysis should be depicted in a summary table indicating appropriate Levels of Service or available capacity for each critical movement at required intersections, driveways, or roadway segments. All raw traffic data and analysis worksheets, including computer printouts and turning movements counted, should be provided in appendices.

The impact analysis for existing scenarios should be based on the "operations" analysis technique described in the latest edition of the *Highway Capacity Manual* (HCM) for the appropriate intersection type (signalized or un signalized). Analysis of 2025 and 2035 projections conditions should utilize the "planning" technique described in the latest edition of the HCM. Any computer software used to assist in the level of service analysis should be approved by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and be consistent with local agency review procedures.

All traffic control warrant analyses shall be conducted in accordance with the latest edition of the *Manual on Uniform traffic Control Devices* (MUTCD) requirements for signalized and unsignalized intersections. In addition, a safety analysis shall be conducted in the vicinity of the proposed project.

V. RECOMMENDED MITIGATIONS

The traffic study should include recommendations to mitigate impacts of project traffic when Levels of Service (LOS) do not meet adopted Level of Service Standards as identified in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan. Appropriate staff at the local jurisdiction should be consulted to determine if an alternative Level of Service (LOS) is applicable.

Recommended mitigations may include planned public roadway improvements identified in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan, RTP, or plans of other local agencies. In such cases the site plan should indicate an offer to dedicate right-of-way or provision of setbacks to protect any right-of-way needed to complete planned roadway improvements.

All proposed mitigations should be identified in detail including schematic plans that indicate existing right-of-way and pavement sections proposed improvements and their preliminary costs estimates, and another iteration of the volume/capacity analysis demonstrating the anticipated results of the recommended improvements. Proposed improvements should be shown in a scale drawing indicating all existing and proposed right-of-way lane configuration and channelization including tapers and turn lanes. Levels of Service (LOS) for the street system with proposed mitigations should be presented.

All design must comply with the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), and Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) traffic engineering and design guidelines. In addition, all mitigation must comply with local guidelines.

RRIF Waivers: Right-of-way dedications or construction of roadway improvements, which meets the 10-year design, completed by the applicant and identified in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan may be eligible for RRIF Waivers against RRIF Fees. This Manual indicates no RRIF Waiver shall be given a Feepayer for street improvements and/or site-related improvements not included in the RRIF Capital Improvements Plan.

Site-related improvements refer to capital improvements and right-of-way dedications for direct access improvements to development streets or driveways including, but not limited to, turn lanes and traffic control measures. Questions regarding CCFEA Credits issued pursuant to a CCFEA prior to the adoption of the 5th Edition RRIF GAM/CIP or regarding RRIF Waivers proposed or approved after the adoption of the 5th Edition RRIF GAM/CIP should be directed to the RTC RRIF Administrator.

**EXHIBIT F
MIXED USE AND CHANGE OF USE WORKSHEETS**

MIXED USE STRUCTURES WORKSHEET			
1. PRIMARY LAND USE _____	1000GFA _____	\$/UNIT _____	FEE
SUPPORTIVE FUNCTION LESS THAN 25% OF ABOVE PRIMARY USE			
_____ % _____	_____		
_____ % _____	_____		
TOTAL	_____	x _____	= _____
1. PRIMARY LAND USE _____	1000GFA _____	\$/UNIT _____	FEE
SUPPORTIVE FUNCTION LESS THAN 25% OF ABOVE PRIMARY USE			
_____ % _____	_____		
_____ % _____	_____		
TOTAL	_____	x _____	= _____
1. PRIMARY LAND USE _____	1000GFA _____	\$/UNIT _____	FEE
SUPPORTIVE FUNCTION LESS THAN 25% OF ABOVE PRIMARY USE			
_____ % _____	_____		
_____ % _____	_____		
TOTAL	_____	x _____	= _____
1. PRIMARY LAND USE _____	1000GFA _____	\$/UNIT _____	FEE
SUPPORTIVE FUNCTION LESS THAN 25% OF ABOVE PRIMARY USE			
_____ % _____	_____		
_____ % _____	_____		
TOTAL	_____	x _____	= _____
FEE TOTAL			_____

CHANGE OF USE WORKSHEET			
PROPOSED PRIMARY LAND USE	1000GFA	\$/UNIT	FEE
_____	_____	_____	
SUPPORTIVE FUNCTION LESS THAN 25% OF ABOVE PRIMARY USE			
_____ %	_____		
_____ %	_____		
TOTAL	_____	x _____	= _____
PROPOSED PRIMARY LAND USE	1000GFA	\$/UNIT	FEE
_____	_____	_____	
SUPPORTIVE FUNCTION LESS THAN 25% OF ABOVE PRIMARY USE			
_____ %	_____		
_____ %	_____		
TOTAL	_____	x _____	= _____
EXISTING USE	1000GFA	\$/UNIT	FEE
_____	_____	_____	
SUPPORTIVE FUNCTION LESS THAN 25% OF ABOVE PRIMARY USE			
_____ %	_____		
_____ %	_____		
TOTAL	_____	x _____	= _____
EXISTING USE	1000GFA	\$/UNIT	FEE
_____	_____	_____	
SUPPORTIVE FUNCTION LESS THAN 25% OF ABOVE PRIMARY USE			
_____ %	_____		
_____ %	_____		
TOTAL	_____	x _____	= _____
FEE FOR THE PROPOSED USE			

SUBTRACT FEE PAID ON TOTAL EXISTING USE			

FEE TOTAL			

NOTE: UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES WILL A REFUND BE GRANTED FOR A CHANGE IN USE			

EXHIBIT G EXAMPLE RRIF WAIVER CALCULATION

Example 1: A developer of a 500-unit subdivision builds an improvement listed on the RRIF Capital Improvement Plan. The improvement is estimated to cost \$1,000,000. The impact fee for the subdivision, using the current fee schedule, is estimated at \$1,860,000. The total amount of RRIF Waivers for the subdivision is \$1,000,000. The developer can use the RRIF Waivers to pay his impact fees based on the fee schedule as of the date of the Offset Agreement. Based on the existing fee schedule, the \$1,000,000 in RRIF Waivers may be used to pay the impact fees for 264.27 single family homes. Once the RRIF Waivers have been used, the remaining impact fees will be based on the fee schedule in effect at the time of the building permit.

		Impact Fee Based on Dollars	
Proposed Development	500 Single Family Subdivision @ \$3784/SFR 14.93 VMTs/SFR)		\$1,892,000.00
Proposed CIP Improvement	ROW & widening 2 to 4 lanes		\$1,000,000.00
Maximum RRIF Waiver	Based on VMT = \$253.39		\$1,000,000.00
Number of development units eligible for RRIF Waivers	Fee set by agreement - Based on \$3784/SFR (14.93 VMT @ \$253.39/VMT) \$1,000,000/\$3784 per SFR = 264.27/SFR	264.27 SFR	\$1,000,000.00
Number of development units not covered by RRIF Waivers	New RRIF Fee - Based on \$3900/SFR (15 VMT @ \$260/VMT) 500 SFR - 264.27 SFR = 235.73 SFR	235.73 SFR	\$919,344.61
TOTAL FEES Paid (Waivers + Cash/Credits)		500 SFR	\$1,919,344.61

Example 2: The developer in the example above chooses to modify his development of record by changing from a 500-unit subdivision to a 200-unit subdivision and 200,000 sf commercial shopping center. Per the RRIF GAM Section X.A.c, the existing RRIF Waivers will be re-evaluated based on the then current RRIF schedule in effect at the time of issuance of the building permit for all future uses.

		Impact Fee Based on Dollars	
Proposed Development	500 Single Family Subdivision @ \$3784/SFR 14.93 VMTs/SFR)		\$1,860,000.00
Proposed CIP Improvement	ROW & widening 2 to 4 lanes		\$1,000,000.00
Maximum RRIF Waiver	Based on VMT = \$253.39		\$1,000,000.00
Number of development units eligible for RRIF Waivers	Fee set by agreement - Based on \$3784/SFR (14.93 VMT @ \$253.39/VMT) \$1,000,000/\$3784 per SFR = 264.27/SFR	264.27 SFR	\$1,000,000.00
Initial phase of subdivision built 100 homes	Fee set by agreement - Based on \$3784/SFR (14.93 VMT @ \$2253.39/VMT)	100.00 SFR	\$378,400.00
Developer modifies his original approval to a total of 200 homes and 200,000 sf commercial shopping center	New RRIF Fee - Based on \$3900/SFR (15 VMT @ \$260/VMT) for remaining 100 homes	100.00 SFR	\$390,000.00
	New RRIF Fee - Based on \$7540/1000 GFA (29 VMT @ \$260/VMT) for 200,000 sf Commercial shopping center	200,000.00 GFA	\$1,508,000.00
TOTAL FEES Paid (Waivers + Cash/Credits)			\$2,276,400.00

Note: If RRIF Waivers had been transferred to a third party, ie, for the 200-unit subdivision, their RRIF Waivers would also be re-evaluated under this scenario.

REGIONAL ROAD IMPACT FEE PROGRAM

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN

7TH EDITION



TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. INTENT AND HISTORY
- II. SERVICE AREAS/BENEFIT DISTRICTS
- III. RRIF NETWORK
- IV. STREET PROJECTS AND FACILITY EXPANSIONS NECESSITATED BY AND ATTRIBUTABLE TO NEW DEVELOPMENT
- V. SERVICE UNIT - VEHICLE MILES OF TRAVEL (VMT)
- VI. IMPACT FEE SCHEDULE EQUIVALENCY TABLE
- VII. PROJECTED VEHICLE MILES OF TRAVEL (VMT) FOR EACH SERVICE AREA
- VIII. COST PER VEHICLE MILES TRAVELLED (VMT) FOR EACH SERVICE AREA
- IX. RRIF FEE SCHEDULE

EXHIBITS

- EXHIBIT A – RRIF FEE SCHEDULE
- EXHIBIT B – SERVICE AREAS/BENEFIT DISTRICTS
- EXHIBIT C – RRIF CIP STREET PROJECTS AND FACILITY EXPANSIONS

REGIONAL ROAD IMPACT FEE SYSTEM CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN

I. INTENT AND HISTORY

The Regional Road Impact Fee (RRIF) program was established in November 1995 pursuant to NRS chapter 278B, *Impact Fees for New Development*. The collection of RRIF fees began in February 1996.

The RRIF program was established by Washoe County, the City of Reno, the City of Sparks, and the Regional Transportation Commission of Washoe County (RTC), within the framework of an interlocal cooperative agreement as authorized by the *Interlocal Cooperation Act* in NRS chapter 277. The RRIF General Administrative Manual (the “RRIF GAM”) establishes the guidelines and procedures by which Washoe County, the City of Reno, the City of Sparks, and the RTC jointly administer the RRIF program.

RRIF fees are a charge imposed on new development to finance the costs of certain “street projects” and “facility expansions” necessitated by and attributable to the new development. NRS 278B.020; NRS 278B.050; NRS 278B.130. The RRIF program is a way to charge the new development its proportionate fair share of those costs.

Pursuant to NRS 278B, impact fee programs require the preparation and adoption of a “capital improvements plan.” This 7th Edition of the RRIF capital improvements plan (this “RRIF CIP”) was prepared and adopted in connection with the 7th Edition of the RRIF GAM.

This RRIF CIP complies with the requirements in NRS 278B.170 to both establish and explain the methodology used to calculate the amount of the RRIF fees as shown on the RRIF fee schedule presented in Exhibit A. The City of Reno, the City of Sparks, and Washoe County have each adopted ordinances to automatically increase the impact fees annually to account for inflation as authorized by NRS 228B.225. This RRIF CIP also establishes the complete and exclusive list of “street projects” and “facility expansions” that can be funded with RRIF fees, and against which RRIF fees can be credited if a developer constructs part or all of a project on the list.

RTC is the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the urbanized area of Reno, Sparks and Washoe County. As the MPO, RTC is responsible for developing and approving the long-range Regional Transportation Plan, the short-range Regional Transportation Improvement Plan, and other planning documents. This RRIF CIP uses data and analysis developed by RTC’s Planning Department, including the RTC’s 2050 Regional Transportation Plan (the “2050 RTP”). This RRIF CIP then makes adjustments to that analysis for purposes of this RRIF CIP.

II. SERVICE AREAS/BENEFIT DISTRICTS

Impact fees must be assessed uniformly within defined “service areas” as defined in NRS 278B.100. Impact fee service areas serve two distinct purposes. The first purpose is for fee calculation (the impact fee schedule applies to all new development within a defined service area). The second purpose is to show benefit to fee-paying development (the impact fees collected in the service area are spent within the service area).

Initially, the RRIF program was created using a single service area with three benefit districts under the assumption that a single regional service area is appropriate for a regional road network. The network functions as a system to facilitate the movement of traffic throughout the region. Travel on the network during the peak-hour, the most critical time period, tends to be dominated by relatively long commuting trips.

The benefit districts are shown in Exhibit B and are defined as follows:

- Northwest Benefit District – Starting at the southwest corner of the district at the California Nevada state line and Interstate 80, follow the state line north to the northern boundary of the Washoe County North Valleys Area (i.e., northern boundary of the Red Rock Hydrographic Basin boundary), then east along the northern boundary of the North Valleys Planning Area (i.e. northern boundary of the Red Rock and Bedell Flat Hydrographic Basin boundary), then south along the eastern edge of the North Valleys Planning Area (i.e. eastern boundary of the Bedell Flat and Antelope Valley Hydrographic Basin boundary) to the western edge of the Washoe County Sun Valley Planning Area boundary, then continue south along the western edge of the Sun Valley Planning Area to US 395 at the Sutro Street terminus then southeast along the US 395 alignment to Interstate 80, then west along Interstate 80 to the state line.
- Northeast Benefit District – Starting at the southwest corner of the district at the US395/Interstate 80 interchange, follow US 395 northwest to the Sutro Street terminus, then continue north along the western edge of the Washoe County Sun Valley Planning Area to the eastern edge of the Washoe County North Valleys Planning area, then north to the western edge of the Washoe County Warm Springs Planning Area, then north to the northwest corner of the Warm Springs Planning Area, then east along the northern boundary of the Warm Springs Planning Area, then southwest and south along the boundary of the Warm Springs Planning Area, then west along the southern boundary of the Warm Springs Planning Area to the eastern edge of the Washoe County Spanish Springs Planning Area and the Washoe County Truckee Canyon Planning Area, then southwest along the western edge of the Truckee Canyon Planning Area to Interstate 80, then west along Interstate 80 to US 395.

- South Benefit District – Starting at the northwest corner of the district at the California/Nevada line and Interstate 80, follow Interstate 80 east to the western edge of the Washoe county Truckee Canyon Planning Area, then south along the Washoe County/Storey County line to the Washoe County/Carson City line, then west along the Washoe County/Carson City line to the southern jurisdictional line of the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency and the Washoe County Tahoe Planning Area, then north along the California/Nevada line to Interstate 80.

During the update to the 5th Editions of the RRIF GAM and CIP, the geographic area defining the service boundary was modified to meet the requirements of NRS 278B.100 as amended in 2007. As amended, NRS 278B.100 prohibits a single service area from incorporating an entire city (or county) whose population is over 15,000. A North Service Area was created by combining the Northeast and Northwest Benefit Districts and the South Benefit District was used as the boundary of the South Service Area. The boundaries of the North Service Area and the South Service Areas divide both the City of Reno and Sparks, to meet the requirements of NRS 278B.100. The service areas are shown in Exhibit B.

Separate capital improvement plans and resulting RRIF fees are calculated for each service area. Revenue generated from the payment of RRIF fees can only be spent within the service area in which it was collected.

III. RRIF NETWORK

NRS chapter 278B authorizes the imposition of an “impact fee” for a “street project” defined as “arterial or collector streets or roads which have been designated on the streets and highways master plan adopted by the local government pursuant to NRS 278.220, including all appurtenances, traffic signals and incidentals necessary for any such facilities.” See NRS 278B.130 (definition of “street project”). NRS chapter 278B also authorizes the imposition of an “impact fee” for a “facility expansion” defined as “the expansion of the capacity of an existing facility associated with a capital improvement to serve new development.” See NRS 278B.040 (definition of “facility expansion”).

1. The RRIF Program uses RTC’s transportation planning efforts as reflected in the 2050 RTP to define the network of regional roads that are either existing or planned in the first 10 years of the 2050 RTP (the “RRIF Network”). RTC maintains a database of all arterial and collector streets and roads, including segment lengths and number of lanes. For purposes of the RRIF Program, the RRIF Network includes existing or planned arterial or collector streets and roads that meet the following criteria: Arterials categorized as “High Access Control” as defined in the 2050 RTP
2. Arterials categorized as “Moderate Access Control” as defined in the 2050 RTP
3. Arterials categorized as “Low Access Control” as defined in the 2050 RTP

4. Collectors that have a forecast volume of at least 14,000 annualized average daily trips at “build-out,” which is defined as full development based on the approved land use assumptions in each jurisdiction
5. Freeway and highway ramps that connect to arterial or collector streets and roads that are included in the RRIF Network are considered arterial or collector streets and roads

The RRIF Network only includes arterial or collector streets and roads that meet the criteria above that are either existing or planned in the first 10 years of the RTP.

IV. STREET PROJECTS AND FACILITY EXPANSIONS NECESSITATED BY AND ATTRIBUTABLE TO NEW DEVELOPMENT

NRS 278B.170(1) requires that this RRIF CIP include “[a] description of the existing capital improvements and the costs to upgrade, improve, expand or replace those improvements to meet existing needs or more stringent safety, environmental or regulatory standards.” NRS 278B.170(2) requires that this RRIF CIP include “[a]n analysis of the total capacity, level of current usage and commitments for usage of capacity of the existing capital improvements.” NRS 278B.170(3) requires that this RRIF CIP include “[a] description of any part of the capital improvements or facility expansions and the costs necessitated by and attributable to the new development in the service area based on the approved land use assumptions.” NRS 278B.170(7) requires that this RRIF CIP include “the projected demand for capital improvements or facility expansions required by new service units projected over a period not to exceed 10 years.”

The 2050 RTP describes the existing capital improvements in each service area by identifying the existing arterial and collector streets and roads that are included in the RRIF Network. However, the 2050 RTP does not identify which planned projects (or percentages of projects) include costs to upgrade, improve, expand or replace those capital improvements to meet existing needs or more stringent safety, environmental or regulatory standards. Further analysis (described below) is required to identify those projects (or percentages of projects) and costs.

The 2050 RTP analyzes the existing capital improvements in terms of total capacity, level of current usage, and commitments for usage of the capacity by analyzing the regional road network as a whole over a period of 30 years. The 2050 establishes the desired level of service for the RRIF Network. The 2050 RTP identifies a list of all planned capital improvements and facility expansions for the regional road network over a period of 30 years needed to meet that level of service.

This RRIF CIP uses the list of projects in the 2050 RTP to identify a list of planned capital improvements and facility expansions for the RRIF Network over the first 10 years of the 2050 RTP. Sound engineering and planning judgment is then applied to make the following adjustments to that list:

1. Programs of projects that are not necessitated by and attributable to new development were removed.
2. Programs of projects (without specifically identified projects) were removed to provide clarity about which specific capital improvements or facility expansions are included in the list.
3. The projects are analyzed to determine the percentage of the cost of each project that adds capacity to the RRIF Network, as compared to the cost of each project to upgrade, improve, expand or replace existing capital improvements.
4. The projects are analyzed to determine the percentage of each project that is attributable to the new development in the service area based on the approved land use assumptions.
5. If a project crosses the service area boundary, the costs were divided between the service areas based on the length of the project within each service area.

Following those adjustments, the resulting list of projects identifies the planned capital improvements and facility expansions necessitated by and attributable to the new development in each service area. The list of projects for each service area is attached as Exhibit C.

The costs of the projects listed in Exhibit C reflect the portion of each project (and resulting costs) that is necessitated by and attributable to new development. The total cost of all projects in the North Service Area is \$452,103,200, and in the South Service Area is \$263,510,650. The combined total cost is \$715,613,850.

V. SERVICE UNIT - VEHICLE MILES OF TRAVEL (VMT)

NRS chapter 278B requires this RRIF CIP to use a “service unit” defined as a “standardized measure of consumption, use, generation or discharge which is attributable to an individual unit of development calculated for a particular category of capital improvements or facility expansions.” See NRS 278B.110 (definition of “service unit”).

This RRIF CIP uses average weekday Vehicle Miles of Travel (VMT) as the service unit. VMT is the product of vehicle trips generated by type of land use, multiplied by the average trip length.

VI. IMPACT FEE SCHEDULE EQUIVALENCY TABLE

NRS 278B.170(5) requires this RRIF CIP to include “[a]n equivalency or conversion table which establishes the ratio of a service unit to each type of land use, including but not limited to, residential, commercial and industrial uses.”

This section describes the determination of appropriate equivalency rates that estimate the VMT generated by each type of land use. Trip generation rates, expressed as average weekday Vehicle Trip Ends (VTE) by land use type, are from the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual (10th Edition). Rates were established for specific land use types within the broader categories of residential, office, commercial, industrial, and institutional land uses. Rates are per dwelling unit, 1,000 square feet of gross floor area, or other appropriate unit of development.

Since ITE rates represent the total number of trips (inbound and outbound) associated with a specific land use, all trip rates have been divided by two to eliminate double-charging any particular trip. This places the burden of travel equally between the origin and destination of the trip.

Trip adjustment factors also include adjustments to accommodate pass-by and diverted trips. Pass-by trips are those trips that are already on a particular route for a different purpose and simply stop at a particular development on that route. For example, a stop at a convenience store on the way home from the office is a pass-by trip for the convenience store. A pass-by trip does not create an additional burden on the street system and therefore should not be counted in the assessment of impact fees. A diverted trip is similar to a pass-by trip, but a diverted trip is made from the regular route to make an interim stop. On a system-wide basis, this trip also does not add an additional burden on the street system, so it is not considered in assessing impact fees.

In addition, residential development has a larger trip adjustment factor of 52% to account for commuters leaving Washoe County for work. In other words, residential development is assigned all inbound trips plus 20% of outbound trips to account for job locations outside of Washoe County, calculated as follows. According to the 2017 National Household Travel Survey weekday work trips are typically 24.75% of production trips (i.e., all out-bound trips). As shown in the Census Bureau’s web application, OnTheMap indicates that approximately 20% of resident workers traveled outside the county for work in 2018. In combination, these factors ($0.2475 \times 0.50 \times 0.20 = 0.02$) support the additional 2% allocation of trips to residential development.

For commercial development, the trip adjustment factor is less than 50% because retail development attracts vehicles as they pass by on arterial and collector roads. For an average shopping center, ITE data indicate 34% of the vehicles that enter are passing by on their way to some other primary destination. The remaining 66% of attraction trips have the commercial site as their primary destination. Because attraction trips are half of all trips, the trip adjustment factor is 66% multiplied by 50%, or approximately 33% of the trip ends.

Many institutional land uses, like schools, also have significant pass-by and diverted link trips as children are dropped off and picked up by parents on their way to some other primary destination. Given this travel pattern, the pass-by adjustment for schools and daycare utilized the commercial trip adjustment factor.

The average trip length, measured in miles, is derived from the regional travel demand model that the RTC Planning Department created as part of the 2050 RTP. The recommended trip lengths by service area for the regional road network excludes travel on local streets and freeways. The average trip length is 3.58 miles for the North Service Area and 3.36 miles for the South Service Area.

Trip length weighting factors are used to account for trip length variations by the type of land use. Per the 2019 National Household Travel Survey, vehicle trips from residential development account for 121% of the average trip length. Conversely, shopping trips associated with commercial development are roughly 66% of the average trip length while other non-residential development typically accounts for trips that are 73% of the average for all trips.

The result of combining trip generation and trip length information is an equivalency table establishing the number of VMT generated by various land use types per unit of development. The equivalency rates are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

**Table 1
North Service Area
Service Unit Generation by Land Use**

<i>Land Use Type</i>	<i>Development Unit</i>	<i>Avg Wkdy Veh Trip Ends</i>	<i>Trip Rate Adjustment</i>	<i>Trip Length Adjustment</i>	<i>7th Ed North VMTs</i>
Residential					
Single Unit	Dwelling	9.04	52%	121%	20.36
3+ Units per Structure	Dwelling	5.76	52%	121%	12.97
Industrial					
Light Industrial	1000 Sq Ft	4.96	50%	73%	6.48
Manufacturing	1000 Sq Ft	3.93	50%	73%	5.14
Warehouse	1000 Sq Ft	1.74	50%	73%	2.27
Mini-Warehouse	1000 Sq Ft	1.51	50%	73%	1.97
Commercial					
Retail and Eating/Drinking Places	1000 Sq Ft	37.75	33%	66%	29.43
Casino Gaming Area	1000 Sq Ft	46.05	50%	73%	60.17
Office & Other Services					
Lodging	Room	3.35	50%	73%	4.38
Public Park	Acre	0.78	50%	73%	1.02
Schools and Daycare	1000 Sq Ft	19.52	33%	73%	16.83
Hospital	1000 Sq Ft	10.72	50%	73%	14.01
Nursing Home	1000 Sq Ft	6.64	50%	73%	8.68
Office and Other Services	1000 Sq Ft	9.74	50%	73%	12.73
Medical Office	1000 Sq Ft	34.80	50%	73%	45.47

The VMT for each land use type is the resulting calculation of Average Weekday Vehicle Trip Ends x Trip Rate Adjustment x Trip Length Adjustment x Average Trip Length for the North Service Area (3.58 miles).

**Table 2
South Service Area
Service Unit Generation by Land Use**

<i>Land Use Type</i>	<i>Development Unit</i>	<i>Avg Wkdy Veh Trip Ends</i>	<i>Trip Rate Adjustment</i>	<i>Trip Length Adjustment</i>	<i>7th Ed South VMT's</i>
Residential					
Single Unit	Dwelling	9.04	52%	121%	19.11
3+ Units per Structure	Dwelling	5.76	52%	121%	12.18
Industrial					
Light Industrial	1000 Sq Ft	4.96	50%	73%	6.08
Manufacturing	1000 Sq Ft	3.93	50%	73%	4.82
Warehouse	1000 Sq Ft	1.74	50%	73%	2.13
Mini-Warehouse	1000 Sq Ft	1.51	50%	73%	1.85
Commercial					
Retail and Eating/Drinking Places	1000 Sq Ft	37.75	33%	66%	27.63
Casino Gaming Area	1000 Sq Ft	46.05	50%	73%	56.48
Office & Other Services					
Lodging	Room	3.35	50%	73%	4.11
Public Park	Acre	0.78	50%	73%	0.96
Schools and Daycare	1000 Sq Ft	19.52	33%	73%	15.80
Hospital	1000 Sq Ft	10.72	50%	73%	13.15
Nursing Home	1000 Sq Ft	6.64	50%	73%	8.14
Office and Other Services	1000 Sq Ft	9.74	50%	73%	11.95
Medical Office	1000 Sq Ft	34.80	50%	73%	42.68

The VMT for each land use type is the resulting calculation of Average Weekday vehicle Trip Ends x Trip Rate Adjustment x Trip Length Adjustment x Average Trip Length for the South Service Area (3.36 miles).

VII. PROJECTED VEHICLE MILES OF TRAVEL (VMT) FOR EACH SERVICE AREA

NRS 278B.170(4) requires “[a] table which establishes the specific level or quantity of use, consumption, generation or discharge of a service unit for each category of capital improvements or facility expansions.”

This RRIF CIP uses the regional travel demand model that the RTC Planning Department created as part of the 2050 RTP to determine the 10 year growth in VMT. The travel demand model uses TMRPA’s Population & Employment model based on the 2020 Consensus Forecast to predict where and what type of growth will occur. Information on that future growth is incorporated into the travel demand model by location (travel analysis zones). Population is converted to number of housing units and housing types based on statistical data from the 2019 American Community Survey for Washoe County. Employment is broken down into employment categories and total square footage using

standardized square foot per employee by employment type. Projections are calculated for 2020 and 2030 and prorated for the intermediate years to determine the 10-year growth.

Table 3 and Table 4 below show the projected 10-year growth in VMT per service area (highlighted in green).

**Table 3
North Service Area Travel Demand**

North Service Area	2020	2030	2020-2030 Increase
Total Population	290,770	324,257	33,487
Total Housing Units	126,450	140,981	14,531
Single Housing Units	86,522	96,465	9,943
2+ Housing Units	39,928	44,517	4,588
Industrial Jobs	13,557	14,734	1,177
Commercial Jobs	13,204	14,422	1,218
All Other Services Jobs	62,398	70,944	8,546
Total Jobs	89,159	100,100	10,941
KSF			
Industrial KSF	30,308	32,940	2,631
Commercial KSF	6,602	7,211	609
All Other Services KSF	21,269	24,182	2,913
Vehicle Trips			
Single Unit Trips	410,429	457,594	47,165
2+ Units Trips	120,684	134,552	13,869
Industrial Trips	26,368	28,658	2,289
Commercial Trips	82,244	89,831	7,587
All Other Services Trips	103,581	117,767	14,186
Total Vehicle Trips	743,306	828,402	85,096
Weekday Vehicle Miles of Travel (VMT)	2,834,609	3,159,978	325,369

Table 4
South Service Area Travel Demand

South Service Area	2020	2030	2020-2030 Increase
Total Population	181,560	202,470	20,910
Total Housing Units	78,957	88,030	9,073
Single Housing Units	54,025	60,233	6,208
2+ Housing Units	24,932	27,797	2,865
Industrial Jobs	39,564	41,874	2,310
Commercial Jobs	25,751	26,523	772
All Other Services Jobs	152,785	157,159	4,374
Total Jobs	218,100	225,556	7,456
KSF			
Industrial KSF	88,451	93,615	5,164
Commercial KSF	12,876	13,262	386
All Other Services KSF	52,079	53,570	1,491
Vehicle Trips			
Single Unit Trips	256,276	285,727	29,450
2+ Units Trips	75,356	84,016	8,660
Industrial Trips	76,952	81,445	4,493
Commercial Trips	160,397	165,205	4,809
All Other Services Trips	253,623	260,884	7,261
Total Vehicle Trips	822,604	877,277	54,673
Weekday Vehicle Miles of Travel (VMT)	2,514,815	2,709,249	194,434

VIII. COST PER VEHICLE MILES TRAVELLED (VMT) FOR EACH SERVICE AREA

This RRIF CIP determines the cost per VMT by dividing the unfunded portion of the costs of the projects listed in Exhibit C (the “RRIF Share”) by the projected increase in VMT in each service area. A separate cost per VMT is determined for each service area.

In order to determine the unfunded portion, this RRIF CIP takes into account other available funding sources (federal, state and local funds) that are available to pay for the costs of the projects listed in Exhibit C. Those other available funding sources are accounted for in order to avoid possible double payment for growth-related improvements from those funding sources. The amount of other available fundings sources is determined using the financial plans and revenue projections developed in conjunction with the first 10 years of the 2050 RTP, with further detail and adjustments for purposes of this RRIF CIP. See 2050 RTP, Chapter 11 – Investing Strategically. The following table presents the results of those calculations:

Table 5
Funding Needs and Available Funding Sources

Funding Source	2021-2030 Total
Total Cost	\$715,613,850
Available Local, State and Federal Funding Sources	\$583,050,431
Unfunded Portion (i.e., the RRIF Share)	\$132,563,419

The other available funding sources are regional in nature and are not required to be used in one service area or the other. Therefore, this RRIF CIP developed a formula to determine the percentage of the RRIF Share that should be attributable to each service area. This RRIF CIP calculated the total costs of all projects listed in Exhibit C by service area. This RRIF CIP then divided the total costs in each service area, by the combined cost of both service areas, to determine the percentage of the total RRIF Share for each service area. The following table presents the results of those calculations:

Table 6
Percentage of RRIF Share by Service Area

Project Costs	North Service Area	South Service Area	2021-2030 Total
2050 RTP: Capacity Related Improvements	\$452,103,200	\$263,510,650	\$715,613,850
% Capacity Related RTP	63.18%	36.82%	100%

For purposes of this RRIF CIP, 63.18% of the RRIF Share is attributable to the North Service Area and 36.82% of the RRIF Share is attributable to the South Service Area. The RRIF Share in each service area was then divided by the projected increase in VMT in each service area to determine the cost per VMT in each service area. The following table presents the results of the calculations above:

Table 7
Cost per Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)

Description	North Service Area	South Service Area
Total RRIF Share	\$132,563,419	
% RRIF Eligible RTP	63.18%	36.82%
RRIF Share by Service Area	\$83,749,561	\$48,813,858
VMT Growth by Service Area	325,369	194,434
\$/VMT for RRIF Share	\$257.40	\$251.06

IX. RRIF FEE SCHEDULE

The RRIF fee for a given land use type is the product of the VMT generated by each type of land use and the cost per VMT. The RRIF fees for each land use type in each service area are presented in Exhibit A.

**EXHIBIT A
REGIONAL ROAD IMPACT FEE SCHEDULE**

<i>Development Type</i>	<i>Development Unit</i>	<i>VMT North</i>	<i>7th Ed RRIF North</i>	<i>VMT South</i>	<i>7th Ed RRIF South</i>
<i>Residential</i>					
Single Unit	Dwelling	20.36	\$5,240.66	19.11	\$4,798.15
3+ Units per Structure	Dwelling	12.97	\$3,338.48	12.18	\$3,057.23
<i>Industrial</i>					
Light Industrial	1000 Sq Ft	6.48	\$1,668.27	6.08	\$1,527.18
Manufacturing	1000 Sq Ft	5.14	\$1,321.83	4.82	\$1,210.05
Warehouse	1000 Sq Ft	2.27	\$585.24	2.13	\$535.75
Mini-Warehouse	1000 Sq Ft	1.97	\$507.88	1.85	\$464.93
<i>Commercial</i>					
Retail and Eating/Drinking Places	1000 Sq Ft	29.43	\$7,576.46	27.63	\$6,935.72
Casino Gaming Area	1000 Sq Ft	60.17	\$15,488.67	56.48	\$14,178.79
<i>Office & Other Services</i>					
Lodging	Room	4.38	\$1,126.75	4.11	\$1,031.46
Public Park	Acre	1.02	\$262.35	0.96	\$240.16
Schools and Daycare	1000 Sq Ft	16.83	\$4,333.19	15.80	\$3,966.74
Hospital	1000 Sq Ft	14.01	\$3,605.61	13.15	\$3,300.69
Nursing Home	1000 Sq Ft	8.68	\$2,233.33	8.14	\$2,044.46
Office and Other Services	1000 Sq Ft	12.73	\$3,276.00	11.95	\$2,998.95
Medical Office	1000 Sq Ft	45.47	\$11,704.79	42.68	\$10,714.92

EXHIBIT B

RRIF SERVICE AREAS




EXHIBIT B

RRIF BENEFIT DISTRICTS




Exhibit C
CIP Street Projects and Facility Expansion
North Benefit District

RTP Time Frame	Service Area	Roadway	Limits	Description	RTP (\$)	Capacity Related North (\$)
2026-30	N	Buck Dr	Lemmon Dr to N Hills Blvd	Widen 2 to 4 lanes	\$ 1,912,000	\$ 1,912,000
2021-25	N	Dolores Drive (Private)	Existing Dolores west to Lazy 5 Pkwy	New 2 lane road	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 180,000
2021-25	N	Highland Ranch Pkwy (Private)	Five Ridges to Pyramid Hwy	Widen 2 to 4 lanes	\$ 1,400,000	\$ 1,400,000
2021-25	N	Kiley Pkwy (Private)	Wingfield Hills Rd to Henry Orr Pkwy	New 2 lane road	\$ 6,400,000	\$ 768,000
2021-25	N	Lazy 5 Pkwy (Private)	W Sun Valley Arterial to Pyramid Hwy	New 4 lane road west of Pyramid Hwy transitioning to 2 lanes at future development entrance	\$ 27,600,000	\$ 6,624,000
2021-25	N	Lemmon Drive	US 395 to Military Rd	Widen 4 to 6 lanes - US 395 to Military Rd	\$ 22,500,000	\$ 22,500,000
2021-25	N	Lemmon Drive	Fleetwood Dr to Chickadee Dr	Widen 2 to 4 lanes - Fleetwood Dr to Chickadee Dr	\$ 39,000,000	\$ 39,000,000
2026-30	N	Military Rd	Lemmon Dr to Echo Ave	Widen 2 to 4 lanes	\$ 25,412,000	\$ 25,412,000
2026-30	N	Moya Blvd	Red Rock Rd to Echo Ave	Widen 2 to 4 lanes	\$ 19,678,000	\$ 19,678,000
2026-30	N	Moya Blvd Extension	Lemmon Dr to Echo Ave	New 2 lane road	\$ 74,100,000	\$ 8,892,000
2026-30	N	N. Hills Blvd	Golden Valley Rd to Buck Dr	Widen 2 to 4 lanes	\$ 20,465,000	\$ 20,465,000
2021-25	N	N/S Connector Rd (Private)	Stonebrook Pkwy to Wingfield Hills Rd	New 2 lane road	\$ 8,400,000	\$ 1,008,000
2026-30	N	North Virginia St	McCarran Blvd to Panther	Sidewalks and bike lanes. An off-street shared-use path may be considered	\$ 17,878,000	\$ 2,741,000
2026-30	N	North Virginia St	Panther to Stead Blvd	Widen from 2 to 4 lanes and multimodal improvements	\$ 43,291,000	\$ 43,291,000
2021-25	N	Oddie Blvd/Wells Ave	I-80 to Pyramid Way	Multimodal improvements	\$ 36,000,000	\$ 5,400,000
2021-25	N	Parr Blvd	Ferrari McLeod to Raggio Pkwy	Interchange improvements	\$ 7,700,000	\$ 770,000
2021-25	N	Pyramid Hwy/Sun Valley/US 395Connector Phase 1	Queen Way to Golden View	Widen Pyramid to 6 lanes from Queen Way to Golden View	\$ 54,100,000	\$ 54,100,000
2026-30	N	Pyramid Hwy/Sun Valley/US 395Connector Phase 2	Disc Drive Widening	Widen Disc drive from Pyramid Hwy to Vista Blvd	\$ 22,300,000	\$ 22,300,000
2026-30	N	Red Rock Rd	US 395 to Placerville Drive	Widen 2 to 4 lanes	\$ 58,246,000	\$ 58,246,000
2026-30	NS	Sierra Street	California Ave to 9th St	Widen sidewalks & add bike lanes	\$ 5,060,000	\$ 75,900
2021-25	N	Sky Vista Pkwy	Lemmon Dr to Silver Lake Rd	Widen 2 to 4 lanes	\$ 15,800,000	\$ 15,800,000
2021-25	NS	Sparks Blvd	Greg to Baring	Multimodal improvements, widen 4 to 6 lanes - Greg to I-80, widen 4-6 lanes - I-80 to Springland	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 36,000,000
2026-30	NS	Sparks Blvd	Greg to Baring	Multimodal improvements, widen 4 to 6 lanes - Greg to I-80, widen 4-6 lanes - I-80 to Springland	\$ 44,977,000	\$ 40,479,300
2021-25	N	Stonebrook Parkway (Private)	N/S Connector Rd to Pyramid Highway	New 2 lane road	\$ 8,100,000	\$ 972,000
2021-25	N	Sun Valley Blvd	7th Ave to Scottsdale	Multimodal improvements	\$ 25,000,000	\$ 3,000,000
2021-25	N	Victorian Avenue	16th Street to Pyramid Way	Bike lanes	\$ 2,300,000	\$ 345,000
2026-30	N	Vista Blvd	I-80 to Prater Way	Widen 4 to 6 lanes	\$ 11,244,000	\$ 11,244,000
2021-25	N	Whitelake Parkway (Private)	US 395 Interchange Improvements	Interchange improvements	\$ 28,000,000	\$ 4,200,000
2021-25	N	Whitelake Parkway (Private)	US 395 to Town Center North Road	Widen 2 to 4 lanes	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 2,800,000
2021-25	N	Wingfield Hills Rd (Private)	Existing Wingfield Hills Rd west to DavidAllen Pkwy	New 4 lane road	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,500,000

\$ 452,103,200

*The Private projects will be constructed by private developers. These projects are identified in the 2050 RTP, but the costs are not identified in the 2050 RTP. For purposes of this RRIF CIP, the cost of these projects were determined using the same methodology used in the 2050 RTP.

Exhibit C
CIP Street Projects and Facility Expansion
South Benefit District

RTP Time Frame	Service Area	Roadway	Limits	Description	RTP (\$)	RRIF Share North (\$)
2021-25	S	4th Street (Reno)	Keystone Avenue to Evans Ave	Enhanced sidewalks and bus/bike lanes, intersection improvements	\$ 35,000,000	\$ 5,250,000
2021-25	S	Center Street	Moran to 9th Street	Widen sidewalks & add bike lanes	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 1,500,000
2021-25	S	Damonte Ranch Pkwy (Private)	Veterans Pkwy to Rio Wrangler Pkwy	New 2 lane road	\$ 7,100,000	\$ 1,065,000
2026-30	S	Damonte Ranch Pkwy (Private)	I-580 to Double R	Roadway widening	\$ 4,723,000	\$ 4,723,000
2021-2025	S	Day Break (Private)	South Meadows Pkwy to Rio POCO Rd	Traffic and circulation improvements	\$ 10,400,000	\$ 10,400,000
2026-30	S	Geiger Grade Realignment	Virginia St to Toll Rd	New 4 lane road	\$ 84,445,000	\$ 42,222,500
2026-30	S	Keystone Ave	California to I-80	Multimodal improvements and Truckee River bridge replacement	\$ 61,169,000	\$ 9,175,350
2021-25	S	McCarran Blvd	Keitzke to Greensboro	Intersection and Operations	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000
2021-25	S	Meridian & Santerra (Verdi) (Private)	Verdi Regional Road Network	Traffic and circulation improvements	\$ 27,500,000	\$ 27,500,000
2026-30	S	Mill Street	Keitzke to Terminal	Roadway widening and multimodal	\$ 60,000,000	\$ 60,000,000
2026-30	S	Mill St/Terminal Way	Reno Tahoe International Airport to Lake St (downtown Reno)	Multimodal & intersection improvements, add EB lane from Keitzke Ln to US 395	\$ 27,436,000	\$ 27,436,000
2026-30	S	Pembroke Drive	McCarran Blvd to Veterans Pkwy	Roadway widening and multimodal	\$ 19,790,000	\$ 19,790,000
2026-30	S	Rio Wrangler Extension North (Private)	South Meadows Pkwy to Bucephalus Pkwy	New 2 lane road	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 900,000
2026-30	S	Rio Wrangler Extension South (Private)	Damonte Ranch Pkwy to Veterans Pkwy	New 2 lane road	\$ 4,700,000	\$ 705,000
2026-30	S	S. Virginia Street	I-580 to Longley	Add NB Lane	\$ 23,613,000	\$ 23,613,000
2026-30	NS	Sierra Street	California Ave to 9th St	Widen sidewalks & add bike lanes	\$ 5,060,000	\$ 683,100
2026-30	S	South Meadows Extension (Private)	Mojave Sky Drive to Rio Wrangler	New 4 lane road	\$ 6,200,000	\$ 3,100,000
2021-25	NS	Sparks Blvd	Greg to Baring	Multimodal improvements, widen 4 to 6 lanes - Greg to I-80, widen 4-6 lanes - I-80 to Springland	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 4,000,000
2026-30	NS	Sparks Blvd	Greg to Baring	Multimodal improvements, widen 4 to 6 lanes - Greg to I-80, widen 4-6 lanes - I-80 to Springland	\$ 44,977,000	\$ 4,497,700
2026-30	S	Steamboat Pkwy	Promenade Way to Veterans Pkwy	Widen from 4 to 6 lanes	\$ 4,610,000	\$ 4,610,000
2021-25	S	Vassar Street	Holcomb Avenue to Terminal Way	Bike lanes	\$ 4,300,000	\$ 645,000
2021-25	S	Vine Street	Riverside Drive to University Terrace	Bike lanes	\$ 11,300,000	\$ 1,695,000

\$ 263,510,650

*The Private projects will be constructed by private developers. These projects are identified in the 2050 RTP, but the costs are not identified in the 2050 RTP. For purposes of this RRIF CIP, the cost of these projects were determined using the same methodology used in the 2050 RTP.



REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Metropolitan Planning • Public Transportation & Operations • Engineering & Construction

Metropolitan Planning Organization of Washoe County, Nevada

MEETING DATE: September 2, 2021

AGENDA ITEM 6

To: Technical Advisory Committee
From: Maria Paz Fernandez, PE, MBA
Project Manager

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Acknowledge receipt of report on the Center Street Multimodal Improvements Project update.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

The project includes design and construction of a two-way cycle track on Center Street in Reno that would provide bicycle connectivity between the University of Nevada campus and Midtown. This project would require replacement and/or upgrades to the existing traffic signal system along Center Street. Additional project scope includes pavement rehabilitation and construction/reconstruction of sidewalk in some locations.

The RTC's Consultant Headway Transportation, LLC (Headway) completed 30% Design earlier this year.

After internal RTC discussions between Planning, Engineering and the Executive team, and with support from City of Reno staff, as part of the process to continue with further design, the RTC is investigating the feasibility of constructing protected bicycle facilities along Virginia Street.

Headway is currently analyzing the traffic operational impacts of implementing protected bicycle facilities along Virginia Street. This potential alternative would provide a direct connection between the existing bicycle facilities on Virginia Street near the University and Reno's Downtown/Midtown area.



REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Metropolitan Planning • Public Transportation & Operations • Engineering & Construction

Metropolitan Planning Organization of Washoe County, Nevada

MEETING DATE: September 2, 2021

AGENDA ITEM 7

To: Technical Advisory Committee

From: Daniel Doenges, PTP, RSP
Planning Manager

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Acknowledge receipt of a presentation on the Lemmon Valley-Spanish Springs Connector Alignment Alternatives and Planning and Environmental Linkages (PEL) Study draft report.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

The RTC has finalized a draft report of the Lemmon Valley-Spanish Springs Connector (formerly Eagle Canyon Extension) Alignment Alternatives and PEL Study. The purpose of this study is to identify potential alignments for, and evaluate the feasibility of, a potential new roadway, which would provide a connection between the Lemmon Valley and Spanish Springs communities. The location of a preferred alignment has not yet been determined. Additionally, the study evaluates existing traffic operations and safety issues on Eagle Canyon Drive and recommends potential improvements.

The final report will provide the necessary background information to advance a future project through the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process and design as well as to establish a foundation for the coordination of future planning efforts among stakeholders within the corridor. Should a feasible alignment be identified, potential funding resources will be evaluated at a later date.

This study was identified through the North Valleys Multimodal Transportation Study, completed by the RTC in February 2017. Through that process, North Valleys residents expressed a desire for a direct connection between the two areas, as both have been experiencing, and are expected to continue to experience, significant growth in housing and employment. The proposed roadway would help to alleviate traffic currently utilizing US 395, the Spaghetti Bowl, and Pyramid Highway, and improve regional traffic flow.

The draft report is currently available for review at <https://northvalleysimprovements.com/eagle-canyon-extension-study/>. There is also a short informational video and a form to provide comments on the report. Recommended approval of the final report will tentatively be brought to the RTC Board at their September meeting.